Nicholas Kollerstrom -BREAKING THE SPELL
Review by Fredrick Töben, Adelaide, 12 May 2015

By way of an Introduction:
Dr Nicholas Kollerstrom’s 256-page book is already a classic in that he has achieved what some Revisionists felt could not be done anymore – to bring together in one reasonably slim volume the essence of what the Holocaust controversy is all about. The last attempt was in 2009 when Thomas Dalton’s book appeared: Debating the Holocaust: A New Look at Both Sides, which unfortunately lacked credibility for the simple fact that the author’s name is a pseudonym for an unidentified tenured American professor, while Nicholas Kollerstrom remains a real person.

I do not wish to demean the professor’s good work in writing Debating the Holocaust, but for me personally there is something unauthentic about the use of a pseudonym. It reminds me of those individuals who, such as David Cole, David Irving and Mark Weber, and who against their better judgment and out of pure expediency, still claim that “limited gassings occurred”. None have come up with the murder weapon!

I consider such a claim to be outright opportunistic because by adopting this view-point it does confer upon the individuals some societal, at the most, direct protection from outright vicious legal persecution. Irving, Weber and Cole can travel through countries that have a hit-list on Revisionists who refuse to concede the gassing claim as a “proven fact” which, of course, it is not. Note Weber’s recent conference trip to England. Germany has not bothered to place an European Arrest Warrant on him as it has done on me since 2004. I now also recall how during the early 1990s Weber and Raven at gun-point stole the IHR from Elisabeth and Willis Carto – but that’s another story.

In April 1999, for example, when I appeared before a Mannheim judge, I stated that were evidence of homicidal gas chambers to emerge, then I would be the first one to publish such a fact. Ironically, public prosecutor Hans-Heiko Klein jumped up and emphatically stated that this statement of mine need not be recorded by the judge who was busily making notes of the hearing. I had to insist that this be placed on the record.

By then I had spoken to a number of Revisionists – Stäglich, Walendy, Graf, Mattogno, Reynouard, Verbeke, Lüftl, Zündel, Butz, Rudolf, et al, and even ventured into the enemy’s camp, for example visiting in 1997 Rabbi Abraham Cooper at the Los Angeles-based Simon Wiesenthal Centre. There I realized that for Cooper the enemy was the person who questioned “the gassings”. My visit in the same year to J C Pressac, likewise, elicited the response that “homicidal gassings were possible”, and perhaps I did push Pressac into saying to me that there is no evidence of any German homicidal gassings anywhere during World War Two. This was said in confidence, much like Michael Shermer stated to me that were he to follow the Revisionist line on the gassings, then he could close his Skeptics publication, or rather, it would be closed for him!

Pressac would also state to me that because he refused to use the term “Holocaust” and instead preferred to use “massive massacre” instead, brought him off-side with the French Holocaust mafia led by the Klarsfelds. Pressac related how the latter had rung him up and then spat at him through the phone! Pressac feared this break with
the French Jewish Holocaust mafia and began to worry about surviving financially were his pharmacy business to collapse. He died in 2003 and he would have been 70 today.

It was thus easy for me to adopt Faurisson’s succinct formulation, “No Holes, No Holocaust” because at that stage of the official Holocaust narrative the specific Holocaust definition was focused on homicidal gassings. Only later did the narrative change again and wander all over the place so as to retain control, among other things, of what Norman Finkelstein called “The Holocaust industry”.

Nicholas Kollerstrom has shown that he has not experienced a failure of moral and intellectual nerve by writing this book under his real name. In fact, his personal suffering merely proves that those who have inflicted upon him social and professional opprobrium are the ones who need to fear the inevitable, i.e. that the truth will emerge about the homicidal gassing allegations and thus lose its current taboo status. It reminds me of the 1593-begun heresy trial at which Giordano Bruno is alleged to have stated to the judges who sentenced him to burn at the stake on 17 February 1600: Perhaps you, my judges, pronounce this sentence against me with greater fear than I receive it.

**The Book:**

This is Volume 31 in publisher Castle Hill Publishers’ HOLOCAUST HANDBOOK SERIES, and that in itself speaks volumes about both Germar Rudolf’s and Michael Santamoura’s efforts to embrace Kollerstrom’s work as a classic Revisionist text, something that is also clearly spelled out in Dr Kevin Barrett’s endorsement of the book:

*If Nick Kollerstrom had been wrong, he would have been refuted – not fired. The mindless persecution of Kollerstrom, and his opponents’ inability to answer his arguments convincingly, suggests that the story of the Nazi gas chambers cannot withstand critical analysis.*

This expressed sentiment is repeated in-depth by Professor James H Fetzer in his six-page Foreword. Indeed, why has the Holocaust become a taboo topic among academics who pride themselves on their theological origins – from a disputed passage in Leviticus as to how many Jews must perish before they can return to “The Promised Land”.

The actual Holocaust death-count Fetzer labels as “fuzzy math” because *... the most complete archives are not collated to make total numbers accessible but only individual cases- which appears too obvious a measure to preserve the testability of h1., the hypothesis that 6,000,000 Jews had perished.*

And Fetzer does not hold back on charging that a critical investigation of the Allies’ systematic and massive destruction of the German cities is successfully deflected from such horrors by the current prevailing Holocaust narrative. He concludes that scientifically the Holocaust narrative, as expressed in h1.-h3. cannot be sustained. And, of course, Nicholas Kollerstrom himself details all these aspects, and much more in his book, beginning in the **Prelude**, where he states that the Holocaust is the most important topic in the world for us to find out about.

To his credit Kollerstrom clearly regards the Holocaust belief to be such a negative force in our society that without having the freedom to investigate its narrative for truth content, our European civilisation is doomed and without a future! This perceptive cultural understanding brings Kollerstrom into line with Jaques Barzun’s and Oswald Spengler’s thinking about the inevitable decline of our Occidental civilization, which has morphed into a global monstrosity where the Holocaust forms the major part of a secular religion and where it has become a “damnable heresy”, for example to reason in the following way: *Many tons of Zyklon B were used in the German labour camps from 1942 onwards, once the typhus epidemics had broken out, and a Holocaust denier is a person who affirms that it was used as per the directions on the can.*

In 2009 Kollerstrom wrote *Terror on the Tube. Behind the Veil of 7/7. An Investigation*, and which event long-experienced Revisionists recognized as an “inside job”, just as was “9/11”— where in particular the upholders of the official conspiracy theory cannot explain why Building Seven imploded. And the effect was reciprocal: 9/11...
Truthers found their way to Holocaust Revisionism. The fact that not a single science journal has ever approached the Holocaust narrative speaks for itself. The basic work was done by Fred Leuchter and Germar Rudolf in their reports of 1988 and 1993 respectively. Since then apologies have flowed from the Catholic Church, the Red Cross, and many other organizations that claim they didn't do anything to help Jews during the Holocaust. Kollerstrom checked out the top-secret Bletchley Park intelligence documents and found there was no reference to gassings, etc. This fact that his research did not find any corroborating evidence of the official Holocaust narrative, this lack of evidence now becomes proof of the unfounded assertion that gassings occurred and that there has been a cover-up by British authorities. If that is not sustained then certainly it will be contended that the Germans hid their act of exterminating Jews by judgng the books or by any other means. After all, the aim is to save the theory, the hypotheses, i.e., that mad Adolf Hitler/Nazis/Germans systematically exterminated European Jewry in homicidal gas chambers. It's a little far-fetched to follow the logic of this line of reasoning because had the evidence of the murder weapon been there in the first instance, then so-called Holocaust experts would have joyously parted it before the world media a long time ago, and this has not happened. In 1994 we asked Michel Behrenbaum of the US Holocaust Museum why there was not a gas chamber in the museum. He replied that there was a door with a peephole but an original homicidal gas chamber could not be found. It reminded me of Michael Hoffmann's quip: *This is like a space museum without a rocket!* Of course, the deception continues rather subtly. For example, a researcher goes into the archives that have released documents of the war period. Then, after studying the records the researcher concludes that by not finding verifiable accounts of the accepted horror stories that make up the Holocaust narrative, this becomes proof of how deceptive and secretive Germans acted during the Holocaust's happenings. Remember the official narrative states that upon arrival at the Auschwitz ramp countless individuals were immediately gassed without their presence having been recorded – to the left immediate gassing; to the right off to work! Only recently it was revealed that at the ramp men and women were separated, to the left and to the right – and it had nothing to do with gassings – and this is just another example of a normal procedure being perverted by the Holocaust believers, no, by the Holocaust liars. The last three lines of the poem with which Kollerstrom concludes this chapter clearly express his hopes in his writing the book:

*Let the Light shine in.*

*Let the Shadows of the Night flee away.*

*Let the Spell be broken.*

Kollerstrom then divides the body of his work into three parts and fourteen chapters. In the former's first chapter he does not hold back and heads it: *Reason Unhinged.* He writes:

*The act of amnesia was accomplished at Nuremberg in 1946, using torture. Thereby the Allies were able to claim the moral high ground. Since then, the Powers That be – whatever you want to call them – have maintained the story despite the complete absence of any corroborating physical evidence…. At Nuremberg the foundation was laid for a civilization based upon Horror and Untruth: horror because we were asked to believe that six million Jews were gassed for no reason whatsoever, and untruth because it never happened. Full-on propaganda began later, may be the late 1960s.*

Indeed, those who recall the 1963-65 and 1967 Frankfurt Auschwitz trials were not surprised when in Germany on 20 April 2015 the trial of Oskar Gröning began in Lüneburg with the aim of solidifying, through mere hearsay, the conventional Auschwitz narrative – without there being any rigorous cross examination of the accused or witnesses so as to elicit any truth-content of allegations made. It was almost fascinating to see how the narrative developed in court and that now legally the two farm houses outside of the Auschwitz complex feature as a murder site, much what Fritjof Meyer in his 2002 essay postulated, and thus moving the narrative away from Auschwitz itself. That no-one has ever seen the farm houses has become irrelevant.

I recall how during my 8 and 10 November 1999 Mannheim trial my barrister, Ludwig Bock, did not offer a defence because that would have attracted an extra charge for me and for him as a barrister under that notorious Section 130 of the German Criminal Code. Prior to taking on my defence he had defended Günter Deckert, and because his defence had been too vigorous, Bock was fined DM10,000. That’s the witch-trial mentality which pervades all legal proceedings in Germany.

Kollerstrom mentions the case of Kevin Käther who in 2009 went to the police and accused himself of having broken the law. He then used his court appearance to ask basic questions about the contradiction that existed between Germany paying out compensation to over four million Jews and the claim that even more had died during the Holocaust. The first illustration in the book features a map of Europe showing "The growing cancer of Holocaust dictatorship in Europe", where an open discussion of matters Holocaust has become illegal. The latest country to criminalize "Holocaust denial" was Russia last year, which makes it the 16th in the world. And Kollerstrom doesn’t like it. He suggests readers ought to affirm that:

*Mass human cyanide gas chambers have never existed in human history.*

He then clearly elaborates on how the homicidal gassing myth was borne by British agents torturing Rudolf Höss,
as Robert Faurisson was the first to point out, and how this has become a *nightmare delirium – to poison the language of discourse, to legitimate war and extinguish hope, and it came in through a torture victim*.

This is heavy poetic stuff! As are the 419 footnotes that appear throughout the book at the bottom of each page, which also makes it easier to read them rather than having them gathered in a separate section at the end of the book. However, it would have been more informative had these footnotes also been included in the 4+page index.

I was pleased to note Kollerstrom's focus on the 1985 and 1988 Toronto Zündel trials where the last time in Holocaust trials that actual reports of physical evidence and witness statements were examined and cross-examined. For example, Rudolf Vrba admitted to not seeing any gassings or gas chambers and renowned Holocaust scholar Raul Hilberg had to admit there is no scientific report proving the existence of gas chambers nor autopsy reports proving evidence of deaths by Zyklon B. The *Leuchter Report* specifically commissioned for the 1988 trial, and the 1993 English edition of The *Rudolf Report* are the scientific pillars that still stand against the official Holocaust Auschwitz, et al, gassing story.

Thus it did not surprise me that in his 2014 autobiography David Cole belittles Ernst Zündel's efforts, indeed mocking Zündel and claiming he was the author of his own legal persecution. Cole extended this unwarranted attack on Faurisson, and thereby discredits his own moral and intellectual integrity. Anyone who has read Cole's book must be revolted by its content, in particular how he writes about his personal relationships with women. But then again, in 1993 Cole finally found his Rabbi who taught him how to ask the right questions, and this led Cole into the lucrative sphere of producing Holocaust propaganda films.

All this proves to me how Jews have been positioning themselves into the Revisionists scene so that a future narrative can claim that it was the Jews, again, who brought light upon the nations drowning in lies! The enabling of J S Hayward to write an MA thesis on Holocaust Revisionism in 1993 can be regarded as just such a move. However, when my submitting the thesis as evidence that Holocaust Revisionism is discussed at tertiary level, which Jewish Jeremy Jones denied before the Human Rights Commission in 1998 and before the Federal Court of Australia, then New Zealand's Jews went for Hayward, who buckled and recanted. However, the University of Canterbury, Christchurch, refused the Jewish request that the MA be downgraded to a BA because there was no evidence of Hayward having lied in his research. Thus the results of the thesis still stand! That Stuart Hayward adopted Joel in his name, and that he has now converted to Islam, is a result of his personal developmental journey.

Kollerstrom’s insistence on dialogue is admirable, something Revisionists have always advocated, but a dialogue with the likes of Cole would be unproductive. In any case, a discussion with a believer in “limited gassings” would soon be terminate by the believers. They would not open their minds to a scientific argument, would not subject their findings to a Popperian process of falsification, as has Kollerstrom. And Kollerstrom personally experienced what other Revisionists also experienced, the disintegration of a former social network and viciousness and hate coming their way from former friends and colleagues who dogmatically hold to their firm belief that the conventional Holocaust narrative is factually grounded – is the truth. After all, for such dogmatists there is no discussion about the Holocaust! It has become a religious doctrine of faith – never mind the impossibility of verifying or falsifying the claimed number of deaths at, for example, Auschwitz I and II – and don’t mention the murder weapon!

The immediate question is the obvious one: Cui Bono – in whose interest? Kollerstrom claims the beneficiaries of this Holocaust religion need a sacred myth for their New World Order. In this context I found it interesting how atheists I know, especially in the Skeptics movement, firmly believe in the official Holocaust narrative, in 9/11, in 7/7, in the Oklahoma Bombing, in the Port Arthur Massacre, et al. So, why would individuals who certainly have no ideological or religious axe to grind embrace the Holocaust as an absolute and unquestionable reality of fact? It has become a substitute religion, which is the dilemma facing all atheists because our human potential requires us to have a belief system – and theirs is the belief that absolute evil Adolf Hitler exterminated European Jewry in homicidal gas chambers in particular at Auschwitz! But such believers refuse to question any of the premises, or hypotheses, on which their belief rests. Why not?

And it has also captured believing Catholics, as Kollerstrom relates, who feel that Pope Pius XII had not done enough to save the Jews from gassings. This “Holocaust guilt” was fuelled as early as 1981 with Elie Wiesel proclaiming that Christianity had died at Auschwitz, and when ten years later David Irving in his *Hitler's War* dared to state that Hitler knew nothing about the gassing-extermination, then Irving became a national pariah – and Kollerstrom rightly suggests that *Catholics need to acquire a bit of moral backbone on this matter.*

Contrast Kollerstrom’s expressed sentiment with what *The Algemeiner* printed a couple of days ago, on 10 May 2015:

**Austrian Catholic and Protestant Churches Apologize for Anti-Semitism**

The Catholic and Protestant churches in Austria have apologized for their anti-Semitism during and prior to the Holocaust. The two churches issued two separate
apologies on Friday, the day Austria commemorated the 70th anniversary of the end of World War II. During that war, many Austrians had supported their nation’s annexation to Nazi Germany in 1938, and the country had a greater number of Nazi Party members per capita than in Germany.

The Catholic Church “must acknowledge its share of responsibility for the creation of a climate of disdain and hatred” for Jews before the Nazi period and the lack of “pity and solidarity with our Jewish fellow citizens” during the Holocaust, said Cardinal Christoph Schoenborn, the Associated Press reported. The Austrian Protestant Council of Churches also expressed “particular shame” for “complicity against Jews and other groups ... that were considered 'unfit to live.'”

http://www.algemeiner.com/2015/05/10/austrian-catholic-and-protestant-churches-apologize-for-anti-semitism/

And then what surprised me somewhat is how in a thought-provoking four-sentence paragraph Kollerstrom ends Part II, Sacred Myths of the New World Order:

Two nations of our modern world are now firmly based upon great untruths: their states would disintegrate if the truth emerged. Their structures would not be able to endure that light of truth. These nations are: the USA and Israel. Top echelons of the US government participated in the event of 9/11, whereby the US government conspired to kill its own citizens. That bloodshed has been used to give a sacred meaning to the hoax, whereby foreign policy and the very fabric of modern American life is ordained by the “war on terror”.

It is of interest that former World War Two Allies, Great Britain, Australia and Canada, joined in the chorus of chanting “war on terror”, which China, Russia, and many other smaller nations then also adopted into their strategic thinking.

This has most definitely committed Kollerstrom to a course of thinking that remains contentious. Now imagine, if Nicholas were also to talk about “Climate Change”! Only yesterday the “Climate Change” advocates worried about the problem of changing ice masses, losing mass from West Antarctica and experiencing a comparable increase south, at the Ross Sea Shelf. But I digress.

In Part III: Opening The Gates Of Memory, Kollerstrom, in Chapter 10. Haunted by Six Million, actually lists the 166 references that cite the six million number, ending the chapter with a quote from British war correspondent Douglas Reed:

During the Second World War I noticed that the figures of Jewish losses, in places where war made verification impossible, were being irresponsibly inflated, and said so in a book. The process continued until the war’s end when the figure of six million was produced. ... A transparently worthless estimate was not only being used for mass-delusion through newspapers, but even given official status. ...No proof can be given that six million Jews ‘perished’, proof can be adduced that so many could not have perished.

And he quotes Ivor Benson who wrote about Reed’s fate, and to which most serious Holocaust Revisionists can relate:

After 1951, Reed found himself banished from the bookstands, all publishers’ doors closed to him, and those books already published were liable to be withdrawn from library shelves and ‘lost’, never to be replaced.

But Nicholas is optimistic, especially with the rise of the Internet beginning in 1995 and with today’s cyber graffiti – or blog-spots where a free exchange of opinions is still possible. Indeed, the Lipstadt argument of the 1990s – There is no debate! – is refuted by mere statistics and the vehemence with which believers attempt to shut down a raging Internet debate on matters Holocaust.

The 2012 and 2014 airing of Sturdy Colls’ GPR work at Treblinka didn’t take off as ground-breaking research. She had to overcome Richard Krege’s pioneering work of 1999 and Eric Hunt’s current work, and especially Hunt’s work remains unsurpassed. No wonder her University of Birmingham has, according to Kollerstrom, not made Sturdy Coll’s PhD thesis available for viewing, which if correct, causes concern about the purpose of her work at Treblinka.

My own work on the Treblinka site, accompanying Jürgen Graf and Carlo Mattogno there in April 1999, and my presentation at the December 2006 Teheran Holocaust Conference entitled: THE ‘HOLOCAUST-Shoah’ in SPACE & TIME, not MEMORY – which I had the good fortune of augmenting with a scaled model of Treblinka – led me to formulate:

The Holocaust has no reality in space and time, only in memory, and the four necessary steps that prove no-one was gassed at Treblinka: 1. Undress and line up. Here at this point it has been stated that Ivan the Terrible stood at the entrance slicing off the breasts of women waiting to enter the gas chamber; 2. Gas; 3. Bury; 4. Exhume; 5. Cremate.

And all this happened without a physical trace remaining – indeed a miracle! Kollerstrom also offers an overview of what Auschwitz was and he explains why Primo Levi claims his January 1944 prison number on his arm: 174,517, was too high. The British Intelligence decrypts ended a year earlier, says Kollerstrom, but the number of arrivals was already up to seventy thousand persons, and this climbed towards the end of the war. And so Levi’s high number would fit this trend quite well, and not indicate that there was a gassing program, but rather to have a fit workforce for the armament industry.

As I near the end of Kollerstrom’s book I am wondering whether it will end with a bang or a whimper. He focuses the argument again:

We infer will and intention in a past historical event by what was said or written, and by what was done. With
luck the former will provide a reason for the latter. Decent citizens of the modern world are required to believe in a will to exterminate... . The act, having no apparent justification (except "anti-Semitism"), becomes proof of their wickedness.

He then quotes from the official Yad Vashem website: [The Nazis] were at their most efficient from April to November 1942 – 250 days in which they murdered some two and a half million Jews. They never showed any restraint, they slowed down only when they began to run out of Jews to kill, and they only stopped when the Allies defeated them. There was no escape. The murderers were not content with destroying the communities: they also traced each hidden Jew and hunted down each fugitive. The crime of being a Jew was so great, that every single one had to be put to death – the men, the women, the children: the committed, the disinterested, the apostates; the healthy and creative, the sickly and the lazy – all were meant to suffer and die, with no reprieve, no hope, no possible amnesty, nor chance for alleviation. Most of the Jews of Europe were dead by 1945. A civilization that had flourished for almost 2,000 years was no more [...] The survivors – one from a town, two from a host – dazed, emaciated, bereaved beyond measure, gathered the remnants of their vitality and the remaining sparks of their humanity, and rebuilt.

Of course this did not happen and Nicholas quotes, among others, Arthur Butz from his 1976 book: The Hoax of the Twentieth Century: The simplest valid reason for being sceptical about the extermination claim is also the simplest conceivable reason: at the end of the war they were still there.

And Robert Faurisson: For the entire duration of the war, millions of European Jews lived, plain for all to see, amidst the rest of the population, a good part of them being employed in factories by the Germans who were cruelly short of manpower; and those millions of Jews were therefore not killed. Better still: the Germans stubbornly offered to hand over to the Allies, up to the last months of the conflict, as many Jews as they might want on the express condition that they must not subsequently send them to Palestine; this proviso was made out of respect for ‘the noble and valiant Arab people’ of the region, already violently beset by Jewish colonists.

As to the teaching of Holocaust matters in schools Kollerstrom sees this as not at all teaching history but engaging in trauma-based mind control, and the fact that the Yad Vashem Museum in Jerusalem ...

...is built next to Deir Yassin, the Palestinian village whose inhabitants were wiped out in 1948: as if the Museum’s outrageously inflated numbers could excuse or somehow forgive such an act; they cannot. The genocide of the Palestinians was and is a centrally organized ethnic cleansing and killing process; it was and is The Holocaust.

Raul Hilberg’s now famous statement also gets a mention: But what began in 1941 was a process of destruction [of the Jews] not planned in advance, not organized centrally by any agency. There was no blueprint and there was no budget for destructive measures. They were taken step by step, one step at a time. Thus came not so much a plan being carried out, but an incredible meeting of minds, a consensus mind-reading by a far-flung [German] bureaucracy.

In response Kollerstrom quotes Jürgen Graf from his 2001 published book, The Giant with Feet of Clay; It would be difficult to find any clearer display anywhere than these few sentences of the total bankruptcy of the orthodox historiography of the Holocaust.

Nicholas Kollerstrom’s Epilogue is an affirmation of what Revisionists have been doing for a long time: We are the spell breakers. Our hearts are stout enough to withstand the hurled accusations: Nazi! Anti-Semite! Holocaust Denier! Yes, we can be put in jail, but then we come out again. We have only one weapon: No Blazon’d banner do I unfurl One charge alone I give to Youth Against the sceptre’d certainties of Age Proclaim the sacred heresy of Truth

In The Appendix Kollerstrom comments on “The Enigma of the ”Höfle Telegram“, deals briefly with Elie Wiesel’s tall stories, references Carlos Porter’s Made in Russia: The Holocaust, and cites Article 71 of the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights and how it impacts on those who have criminalized matters Holocaust and other acts such as the Armenian Genocide. His Select Bibliography lists all the major works consulted and referenced – and I cannot see that any of the classic texts are missing from this list.

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Breaking the Spell:
The Holocaust: Myth & Reality (Holocaust Handbooks) (Volume 31)
By Nicholas Kollerstrom
Format: Paperback
Price: $22.50 + Free shipping with Amazon Prime

Ask anyone in the UK who has heard of the Leuchter Report and you can be fairly sure they will tell you the same thing: it has been ‘discredited.’ But – “behold, O Dionysus,” as Nietzsche would have said, “I sing a new song”: there are two different references we need to fully unpack the meaning of what Fred Leuchter accomplished, with such amazing rapidity, twenty years ago.

I don’t accept the charge that the Leuchter’s report is ‘flawed’ as David Irving remarked at his trial. It’s not flawed, it just has a couple of weaknesses. And please bear in mind that I am solely here concerned with his chemical measurements, not with his professional estimation as to how the chambers in question could never have functioned as human gas-chambers. The practical weakness of his sampling lay in the presence of guards around the snowy walls of the Majdanek disinfection chamber (DC) in February 1988 which prevented Fred from being able to take any samples there. That meant that he had only one DC sample; it was quite a big one however, chiseled out from the Birkenau DC. His Report has been scoffed at by various persons, e.g. Pressac on the grounds that his staggering claim – surely the centre-piece of his Report – of a 2000 fold difference in residual cyanide levels, was based only on this one sample.

Fred’s chemical argument was dualistic, contrasting the parts per thousand cyanide level of this single DC sample, with the parts per mil-lion level of all his other levels. This one sample he unfortunately alluded to as his ‘control.’ That’s as it were, the theoretical weakness of his Report, at least in its chemical aspect. It was Fred’s Report that really put these DCs on the map, and drew everyone’s attention to them: their functioning had been completely covered-up at Nuremberg. They were where mattresses were deloused, where the gas really was used.

One sheds a tear at the way the main and more or less only British debate over the Leuchter Report – viz, the discussion at the Irving libel trial – took place without allusion to Germar Rudolf’s replication of the Leuchter results in 1991. The tremendous power of the chemical argument here involved, comes from the concordance between these two surveys. They used the same method of analysing for iron cyanide, and the German lab (Rudolf’s samples) was slightly more accurate than Leuchter’s US lab. Both of these scientific investigations involved total career-termination of the men involved, i.e. Leuchter and Rudolf both sacrificed their livelihoods for the sake of scientific truth. Rudolf in 1991 took a large number of samples from the DC walls, but only three from the alleged human gas chambers at Auschwitz. The latter fact is the weakness of his survey, as it were, if considered in isolation.

We combine the two data-sets together, after omitting all the samples where the cyanide levels were too low to measure, and that gives us a total of forty cyanide measurements (1). The two tables show this data. We can clearly see the two-thousand fold difference between the DC samples and the AHGC (alleged human gas-chamber) samples. This is the central axis around which future discussion of ‘the Holocaust’ will have to revolve. The life-blood of science is replication, whereby one result confirms another, and we’re seeing it here. Then equally clearly we see that there is no significant difference between the AHGC samples and those of ‘controls’ i.e. samples taken from living quarters, wash-rooms etc. This fact terminates the mass gassing story, at any rate for the five or so normally so-designated chambers at Auschwitz. There is no ‘natural’ background level of cyanide in brick, so if around one part per million of cyanide appears in brickwork of these control samples as well as in the AHGCs, then this suggests that the rooms were fumigated once or twice with the cyanide to delouse them.

I developed a thread of several pages on the CODOH website, in the course of which I approached Mr. Dan Desjardins on the somewhat arcane question of which Leuchter samples were ‘genuine,’ i.e. taken from old WW2 brickwork of chambers where human gassing allegedly happened: those samples not taken from such are what we are here calling ‘controls’ – that’s quite an important concept if we are here testing a scientific hypothesis. Fortunately, these enquiries stimulated Mr. Desjardins to compose an article on the subject (2), and...
his data there presented has been used for constructing these charts.

Leuchter's chemical data needed Rudolf's replication – of a similar number of samples from much the same chambers and the same chemical method – to confirm its validity; and it also needed Mr Desjardin's careful retraction of exactly where Leuchter and his team had ventured, twenty years ago, to distinguish between the AHGC and 'control' samples.

Leuchter's work gets dismissed on the grounds made by Alpha-lab chemist Dr James Roth, interviewed in the 1999 film about Leuchter 'Mr Death:' that the cyanide gas would only have penetrated a mere ten microns into a wall. Rudolf's quite thorough investigation of cyanide penetration into the wall is here of value, showing how brick and mortar are permeable to cyanide gas (was Roth maybe confusing brick with stone?)

**Postscript:**

**the Polish fake study**

Worldwide publicity was being given to Leuchter's trailblazing Report, and clearly something had to be done. So Dr Piper, the manager of the Auschwitz museum, approached a chemical team in Poland, and gave them permission to take and samples from the old walls. My CODOH essay “The Walls of Auschwitz – a Chemical Study” reviews the Kafkaesque endeavour that followed. Dr Marciewicz et. al. averred they were using a US method published in 1947 which was somehow one thousand times more accurate than the method used by Alpha Laboratories in the US – and they cited cyanide levels of parts per billion (µg/g) from combining the Leuchter and Rudolf data. Seven tons of cyanide was used at Auschwitz 1942-5, and its destination remains of interest. The Ferro cyanide fixed into the old brickwork gives a more reliable memory of where it was used than does anything else! Mother Nature gave us that unexpected ace card, by the brickwork being so porous to the hydrogen cyanide, and by the iron complex thus formed being so permanent.

The librarian there reached down the first volume of the US Industrial and engineering Chemistry (3) and blew the dust off it. Clear as day, the method went down to no more than 0.2 mg/l (in solution, and would be equivalent to around 2 mg/l, i.e. 2 ppm in the brickwork from which it is extracted). Milligrams and micrograms were being muddled up by the Poles in a big way! The Poles used a devious argument whereby they were only measuring the soluble component of cyanide in the brickwork, which could be merely a fraction of 1% of the total, hence the need for these very low concentrations. Orthodox, pro-Holocaust studies always cite this Marciewicz et. al. study as if it had 'refuted' Leuchter. It's a shame there are no Holocaust Studies in universities where students are allowed to review these investigations, because students would see through this ploy pretty quick.

**Addendum**

In my "Leuchter 20 years on" article (issue 153 Smith's Report), I showed graphs of the data of Rudolf and Leuchter combined, for three distinct groups: wall cyanide samples from the delousing chambers (DCs), from the alleged human gas chambers (AHGCs) and from 'controls' i.e. barracks kitchen etc. I neglected to give the mean values of the data thus graphed, and here they are.

Mean wall concentrations of total cyanide at Auschwitz, from combining the Leuchter and Rudolf data-sets, parts per million (µg/g):

- **AHGCs:** 2.7 ± 2.7 ppm (n=16)
- **Controls:** 1.7 ± 1.3 ppm (n=11)
- **DCs:** 4960 ± 3800 ppm (n=15)

A t-test comparing (2) and (3) gives t = 1.1 which is wholly insignificant: that is the conclusive refutation of "the Holocaust" – for the first time, I suggest. Revisionists have pointed out that the two thousand fold differential between (1) and (2) shows pretty clearly that one was used for regular, mass cyanide gassing whereas the other was not. But, the fact is that pro-H. experts have not accepted this argument. Unlike though it may seem, they have argued that this data merely shows that "bugs are harder to kill" than humans, i.e. the DCs needed more cyanide. My article argued that only the establishing of a 'control' group gives a truly conclusive argument: viz, that the two groups of AHGC and control come from the same pool, they are not significantly different.

My article described the two thousand fold differential as "the central axis around which future discussion of 'the Holocaust' will have to revolve." May these figures assist that revolution. I’d be happy if anyone wants to check through them, they are all in the Rudolf Report and Mr. Desjardin's CODOH article (slight differences are possible, where more than one analysis was done per sample).

These values are compatible with the data published by John Ball in 1993: from a DC, 3000 ppm (n=2) and from AHGC sites 0.5 + 0.6 (n=4) ppm and including these would lower the mean AHGC value, bringing it closer to the 'control' mean. Seven tons of cyanide was used at Auschwitz 1942-5, and its destination remains of interest. The Ferro cyanide fixed into the old brickwork gives a more reliable memory of where it was used than does anything else! Mother Nature gave us that unexpected ace card, by the brickwork being so porous to the hydrogen cyanide, and by the iron complex thus formed being so permanent.

**Notes**

[1] Leuchter took one DC sample, plus he took 14 others with measurable levels of cyanide. Mr. Desjardin's (ref 2) puts 5 of these as 'controls' and 9 as AHGC samples. For the Rudolf data, likewise divided for inclusion in these charts, see tables 1 and 2 of the author's "The Walls of Auschwitz" (CODOH). For the two data-sets, see Germar Rudolf, The Rudolf Report, expert Report on Technical and Chemical Aspect of the Gas chambers of Auschwitz, 2003 p.249 (Leuchter data) and p.254 (his data).


This essay will argue that well-designed cyanide gas chambers were indeed present at Auschwitz, and did work efficiently, but that they were operated for purposes of hygiene and disinfection, in order to save lives and not take them. Terrible mass mortality came about in the German labour-camps, especially towards the end of the war, but maybe we have to try a bit harder to understand what caused this. Amongst all the archival material for the German Third Reich, there has always been a notable lack of documentation to support the existence of an intentional mass-exterrmination program – of Jews, or anyone else. We have all heard stories about a Nazi program of exterminating Jews, but to what extent are there documents or any physical remains showing this? Has the traditional Holocaust story developed merely out of rumours, misunderstandings, and wartime propaganda? From stories pre-dating the Second World War to the Nuremberg Trials which gave official sanction to the notion, to subsequent trials, books and films, we have had it imprinted on our collective psyche.

Europe needs, more than anything else, a truth and reconciliation forum to get to the bottom of these matters, and try to exorcise the demonic hate-images. Many European states have passed laws that prohibit citizens from expressing doubt – Germany, France, Austria, Poland, Portugal, Czech Republic, Romania, Belgium and Switzerland. Collectively, we need to work through our despair, rage, and ideas of blame, to try and access the real historical record.

**Cyanide at Auschwitz**

Zyklon-B was used at Auschwitz, as an insecticide. It was vital in attempting to maintain hygiene that mattresses be deloused. Liquid hydrogen cyanide was adsorbed onto clay-type granules, designed to make the deadly gas as "safe" as it could be. If you go to Auschwitz today, you can't see any authentic gas chambers. You see stone huts, and experts have testified that they could not have been used to gas people, owing to problems in sealing them up. Zyklon-B released its cyanide gas rather slowly.[11].

In 1988 the scientific team of Fred Leuchter (a US execution-expert "Mr Death" in gas-chamber technology) visited Poland, and concluded that the Auschwitz "gas chambers" could not possibly have functioned in the alleged manner – i.e., they were not gas chambers[2]. In the following years, others would confirm the accuracy of his seminal, "Leuchter Report". The German chemist Germar Rudolf, who worked at the Max Plank institute for Solid State Physics, is now in jail, because he likewise measured the high levels of the cyanide in the walls of the de-lousing chambers. It happens that this gas bonds permanently with iron, and iron is present in all the cement etc of stone walls. Whereas, he found none in the walls of what were supposed to be the "gas chambers" which were mainly shower units. He thereby confirmed the work of Leuchter who likewise only found remains of the cyanide gas-insecticide in the de-lousing chambers.[3]

After Rudolf's report was published in 1993, he lost his job and was prevented from completing his doctorate, then a few years later he was given a jail sentence. He is now in a high-security prison near Stuttgart, for the crime of not finding cyanide in the "gas chambers"[4].

Leuchter's cyanide residue investigations, [5] When a chemist is put in jail, for replicating the result of another – in Germany of all places, the nation which invented chemical procedure – then the farce cannot continue for much longer[6]. (The gas chambers were conveniently located east of Germany behind the Iron Curtain to make them inaccessible to westerners, which helped the myth to flourish).

The Leuchter results were almost too good to be true, with a three orders of magnitude difference between the cyanide levels in the delousing chamber walls (about one part per thousand) and those in the washing chambers (aka "gas chambers"), around one part per million. They are not published in any peer-reviewed chemistry journal, for obvious reasons. The only way to hold a debate on this subject appears to be in a courtroom, while being prosecuted! It is hard to reach the calm condition necessary for replication of chemical measurements. Nonetheless people have replicated the fundamental result[7], and even folk with no interest in chemistry can appreciate the pretty blue colour on the outside of the de-lousing chamber (where the ferrocyanide has seeped right through the wall) and its complete absence around the so-called "gas chambers."

Let's face it, the case is established: the cyanide poison was used where the German records state that it was used for delousing mattresses, clothing etc., whereas it was not used where the US and UK (at Nuremberg) alleged.

Tourists, thirty million of them, have filed through "Krema 1" at Auschwitz, with its piteous piles of shoes, etc of the dead. This was reconstructed after the war in 1946[8], a fact revealed 1992 by Dr. Franciszek Piper, the Senior Curator and Director of Archives of the Auschwitz State Museum. The historic remains from the camp are such things as shower-unit huts, a swimming pool and a morgue. There was a camp orchestra, and quite a collection of pictures from the camp art-classes, although these cannot be shown in Germany or Poland, on account of laws prohibiting the doubting of the Holocaust. Anne Frank of "Diary" fame came to the camp in 1944 with her father who was ill. He was put into the hospital there, and recovered, suggesting that he was decently looked after.

The "gas chambers" at Auschwitz had water pipes in their ceilings, indicating that they were designed as shower units. They generally lacked tight-seal doors, which would have been essential, even though these were easy to install – the Leuchter report cited the absence of such seals as one reason why the alleged "gas chambers" would never have functioned.

**"The Final Solution" An Export Program**

The "Final Solution" of Adolf Hitler retained a single meaning right through WW2, central to the program of "National socialism," and signified the deportation of Jews, generally eastwards to Poland and Russia[11]. This program did not change at any point, e.g. the Wannsee conference of 1942[12] to signify deliberate extermination. If that practice ever happened, it was not
a centrally-directed policy and did not involve gas chambers: many tons of documentation of "Third Reich" policies remains, and no-one has been able to find therein any hint of such a meaning of intentional genocide. The historical record fails to show any central decision to exterminate Jews by Nazi Germany.

The Gestapo and Zionists were collaborating in the late 30s because they had in this respect similar aims.[13] But, a German Foreign Office circular bulletin of June 22, 1937 stated that: "In view of the anti-German agitation of international Jewry, Germany cannot agree that the formation of a Palestine Jewish state would help the peaceful development of the nations of the world".[14] International Jewish organisations twice declared war on Germany, in 1933 then again in 1939.[15]. As the "final solution" policy[16] was thwarted by the Allies, the camps became places of hard labour; then under the impact of Allied terror-bombing ravages of disease and famine took place.

**No Documents?**

At the Auschwitz trial held at Frankfurt in the mid-sixties, the court had to conclude that it lacked "almost all the means or evidence available in a normal murder trial" including "the bodies of the victim, autopsy reports, expert reports on the cause of death, evidence as to the criminals, murder weapons, etc."[17] Far from leading to doubt, this gave to the mythic gas chambers a metaphysical status, doubt of which was forbidden. ' No documents have survived, perhaps none ever existed,' concluded the Holocaust historian Léon Poliakov.[18]

**In the case of the rush to impugn to the Nazis a programme for the extermination of millions of people which has implausibly left no material traces, all the normal rules of historiography seem not only to have been suspended, but to have been violated over and over again. Historians routinely cite documents from secondary works like Raul Hilberg's *The Destruction of the European Jews* (1961) or from printed collections of documents, such as Robert Wolfe's *Holocaust: The Documentary Evidence* – but they never cite original documents.**[19]

The nearest we have to primary-source documents concerning what went on within the camps, comes from the bulky, three-volume International Red Cross Report published in 1948. This and especially Volume III describes the couple of thousand regular, routine inspection visits that its doctors made through the war years to the Polish labour-camps. Their report never hints at any gas-chamber, nor any mass-cremations. While maintaining a politically neutral position[20], it confirms that mortality in the camps was to a large extent caused by the allied terror-bombing.[21] Likewise Winston Churchill's bulky, six-volume account of the War gives no allusion to the subject, especially volume Six, *Triumph and Tragedy* where one would expect to have some allusion if he believed it had happened.[22]

The head of the SS camp administration office sent a directive dated Dec. 28, 1942, to Auschwitz and the other concentration camps. It sharply criticised the high death rate of inmates due to disease, and ordered that "camp physicians must use all means at their disposal to significantly reduce the death rate in the various camps." Furthermore, it ordered: "The camp doctors must supervise more often than in the past the nutrition of the prisoners and, in cooperation with the administration, submit improvement recommendations to the camp commandants ... The camp doctors are to see to it that the working conditions at the various labor places are improved as much as possible." The directive stressed that "the Reichsführer SS [Heinrich Himmler] has ordered that the death rate absolutely must be reduced."[23]

A further letter to all concentration camp commanders dated 20th January, 1943, reaffirmed that "every means must be used to lower the death rate." By September 1943 Auschwitz still had mortality peaking at 80/day, viewed as "catastrophic" by the SS administration. Thus, from authentic documents concerning the need to reduce fatalities at the Auschwitz camp, the world has moved to a belief in genocide, with not a single authentic, supporting document[24]. The Auschwitz camp was set up as an industrial plant using the giant coalfields of Poland, and located at the confluence of rivers; it was essential to the war-effort – it would have made no sense to start exterminating its inmates.

The Hamburg judge Wilhelm Staeglich had been positioned near Auschwitz during the war and had on various occasions dropped into the camps around there. The conditions seemed fairly tolerable, he recalled, and certainly nothing resembling an extermination program existed. In particular, he had discerned no fear of mistreatment amongst the inmates. He published a testimony to this effect in 1973, and in return for his shocking news a German inquisition forced him to resign his job, stripped him of his doctoral degree, and banned his book. He was then subjected to police raids. His book, translated into English, is an essential text.[25] German military radio messages were successfully decrypted at Bletchley Park using the "enigma" codebreaker. Concerning the labour camps, over the period Spring 1942–February 1943, the following information was obtained:[26]

"The return from Auschwitz, the largest of the camps with 20,000 prisoners, mentioned illness as the main cause of death, but included references to shootings and hangings. There were no references in the decrypts to gassings."

That seems clear enough – though no-one took any notice of it at Nuremberg.

Bodies were disposed of by individual cremation, and the records for coke fuel consumption over the period February 1942 – October 1943 for the cremation ovens indicate that around five thousand bodies were then burnt. That accords with the number of registered, deceased inmates, viz those who had died from natural causes[27], who had to be cremated rather than buried because of the great typhoid epidemics. This suggests that no mass-incineration of bodies took place. Josef Kramer, a supervisor at the Auschwitz camp, gave a statement after the war which is recommended by Professor Butz as probably genuine: it had 350-500 deaths per week happening over May–November 1944, all from natural causes, a very high figure because sick prisoners were sent there, and the dead were all cremated. He believed the camp held up to 100,000 prisoners, mainly Polish and Russian Jews.[28]

**No Photos?**

Not only is there no trace of "Third Reich" documentation[29] for what is alleged, but no photographs exist showing anything resembling such a
group-gassing procedure. Do you believe that Jews both male and female stripped then marched into the gas chambers, then were burned out in piles? If so, are you willing to believe that neither the very-thorough Germans nor the clever Jews wanted or were able to get a single picture of this ultimate horror? Go to Google and search – you'll find rows of emaciated bodies, dead of typhus, will that do? I don't think so.

US air-photographs taken in 1944 (released in 1978) when the "program" was supposed to be in full swing do not show any huge crematoria burning corpses nor queues entering the "gas chambers": "The few air photos of Auschwitz-Birkenau known to date from the period of December 1943 to February 1945 show no signs of fuel depots, smoke from chimneys or open fires, burning pits or pyres. The photos were altered: Zyklon B input hatches, groups of inmates, and walls around crematoria were retouched onto the photo negatives.[…] To this day there is no air photo evidence to support the alleged mass murder of the Jews at any location in Europe occupied by the Germans during World War Two […] That the photos in western hands were altered in order to incriminate Germany, and were first published by the CIA, is also very significant indeed." [30]

The Fabrication of Untruth

Let's hear a couple of testimonies concerning the dreaded gas-chambers. An Austrian woman, Maria Van Herwaarden, testified about her camp experiences in a Toronto District Court in March 1988, as she had been interned in Auschwitz-Birkenau in 1942 for having sexual relations with a Polish forced laborer. On the train trip to the camp, a Gypsy woman told her and the others that they would all be gassed at Auschwitz. Upon arrival, Maria and the other women were ordered to undress and go into a large concrete room without windows to take a shower. The terrified women were sure that they were about to die. But then, instead of gas, water came out of the shower heads. Auschwitz was no vacation center, and disease, particularly typhus, and quite a few committed suicide. But she saw no evidence at all of mass killings, gassings, or of any extermination program.[31] A Jewish woman named Marika Frank arrived at Auschwitz-Birkenau from Hungary in July 1944, when 25,000 Jews were supposedly gassed and cremated daily. She likewise testified after the war that she heard and saw nothing of "gas chambers" during the time she was interned there. She heard the gassing stories only later.[32]

The Allied carpet-bombing destroyed the supply lines for the Camps, and so the death-toll mounted from famine and disease. Leaflets were dropped from the air, alleging that at Auschwitz a "final solution" /extermination of Jews policy was being conducted, using gas. [33] That was the genesis of the story, as those Allied-propaganda leaflets were believed. Greeted by the sight of rows of famished Jews who had died of e.g. typhoid, it was not hard to see its confirmation. "Far from it being common knowledge in Germany that people were being gassed […] the vast majority of Germans were horrified by the United Nations accusations and they protested that they had never heard of such acts until after the cessation of hostilities when they had begun listening to United Nations broadcasts." Since the British Broadcasting Corporation had been broadcasting these accusations regularly for many months before the end of the war, those Germans who had "common knowledge" of the gassing before the war's end most likely got this "knowledge" from the BBC.[34] The gas-chamber legend was born in December 1941, when the German author Thomas Mann declared on the BBC that in German hospitals the severely wounded, the old and the feeble were killed with poison gas. This was the "first appearance of gas chambers in propaganda." [35]

As to how the stories got going, here is a remark by Faurisson: "The Foreign Office saw the new rumors of the Second World War only as Jewish inventions, and many in American circles shared that conviction[36]. Edward Beneš, President of Czechoslovakia (in exile in London), announced in November 1942, after inquiry by his staff, that the Germans, contrary to what had been reported to him, were not exterminating the Jews. The American Jew, Felix Frankfurter, a Supreme Court judge, stated to Jan Karski on the subject: "I can't believe you." In August of 1943, Cordell Hull, Secretary of State, warned the U.S. ambassador in Moscow by telegram that in planning a joint Allied statement on "the German crimes in Poland", it would be advisable to eliminate any mention of the gas chambers, since, as the British pointed out, there was "insufficient evidence" in the matter[37].

The Hoax of Nuremberg

A modern inquiry needs to start from the data-fabrication at Nuremberg by the US/UK, using systematic assassination and torture of witnesses. The Nuremberg trials started in 1946 with the image of six million dead as firmly established.[38] This did not emerge as a conclusion from the trials, but in its immensity it was presented to the tortured and/or beaten.[39] Nazis as a fact, and would they confess their part in it? All the main defendants at Nuremberg insisted that prior to the trial they had not known of any mass murder of Jews: except that Rudolf Höss, the former Commandant of Auschwitz, signed on March 15, 1946, a document averring that he had overseen the slaughter of two and a half million Jews, and this was read out on 15th April at Nurnberg. That day signified the birth of Auschwitz's horror-myth. Two weeks earlier, Höss had remarked: "Certainly, I signed a statement that I killed two and a half million Jews. I could just as well have said it was five million Jews. There are certain methods by which any confession can be obtained, whether it is true or not."[40]

Decades later, an account was published of how Höss had been tortured for three days and nights without sleep by a British army team in order to extract that statement.[41] In a letter to his wife, Höss apologised for his "confessions" and explains that they had been extracted from him under torture[42]. The victorious Allies could not have their "truth" come out at Nuremberg, without the assistance of torture. In 2001, Patricia Meehan discussed the network of secret "Direct Interrogation Centres" the British had set up in their occupational zone of Germany[43]- torture chambers, to prepare "evidence" for the upcoming trials. A Zionist influence was noted at the Nuremberg trials,[44] not least concerning the figure of six million.[45] Weighty books are in print as memorials to "the Holocaust," but, let's hear a sceptical view from Down Under:[46]
"Yet in the case of the Holocaust, the dearth of material evidence for the existence of large-scale extermination programme is not perceived as significant. It is assumed that the Nazis destroyed their vast death machinery so thoroughly as to preclude all possibility of postwar detection. It is not permissible to express doubts as to whether the Nazis could really have eliminated all material evidence so completely, including making the ashes of six million people vanish from the locations at which they must have been interred. To think such doubts is to engage in thought crime."

"The reality, therefore, is that the bulk of the 'evidence' for the Holocaust derives from a corpus of documents that was expressly manufactured by the OSS and OCC in 1945-46 for the purpose of incriminating the leaders of the former German government at Nuremberg. The procedure went roughly along these lines: the Documentation Division in Paris created 'copies' (in English only), certified them as true, and sent them to the prosecution in Nuremberg, while the original documents (if they ever existed) were never seen or heard of again. German translations of the original English texts were then prepared and sent to the defense in Nuremberg, where they arrived as late as possible so that the defense had insufficient time to worry about such matters as their authenticity."

"Starting in May 1945 with the alleged suicides of SS-General Hans-Adolf Prützmann, SS head Heinrich Himmler and SS-General Odilo Globocnik while in British captivity, there followed a bizarre string of murders of individuals associated with the Auschwitz concentration camp. (These were perpetrated by a Jewish hit squad known as the DIN.) As Joseph Bellinger, author of a new book on Himmler's murder, points out, within six months or so of the war's end practically any one who could have shed light on the Jewish policy of the Third Reich was murdered!"

This, it must be said, was extremely convenient for the prosecution at Nuremberg. At Nuremberg, the German war-generals as well as being tortured had their wives arrested, to dissuade them from making inappropriate remarks in court. They were kept in solitary confinement to prevent their comparing notes, and to act as prosecutor, judge, lawyer and executioner: American justice! At Nuremberg, for the delivery of Zyklon-B were presented as evidence for human "gassings." [47]The normal, routine purpose and function of the Zyklon-B as an insecticide[48] was more or less completely omitted: the manufacture of the ten-cubic metre "gaskammer" (gas chambers) by the firm DEGESCH, for which the Zyklon-B was designed in order to delouse clothes and bedding, as part of the great struggle against typhus, was overlooked. Throughout the German labour-camps these chambers - installed in 1942 when the scourge of typhus arrived - consumed tons of Zyklon-B, and they can still today be visited, in the camps of Majdanek and Auschwitz, their walls a deep turquoise-blue due to the cyanide with iron-cyanide. These chambers are a lot smaller than the ones which tourists are taken round as alleged-human gas chambers. At Nuremberg, the "gas chamber" illusion was perpetrated by conflating two different types of chamber.

The little disinfection-rooms were properly designed with efficient fans, tight-fitting doors, heaters to make the Zyklon-B evaporate quickly, and ducts and tall chimneys to remove the deadly gas. The Morgues were the opposite - long, cold and damp, built partly below ground to keep them cool, having only slow ventilation, no holes in the roof for you-know-what, and a large table down the middle for laying stiffs on, prior to their being taken to the cremation ovens next door. These morgues (or sometimes a washroom), were strangely re-imagined as human gas chambers, while the actual, real, working gas-chambers became forgotten. Such phantoms became alluded to as "Kremas," a vague term suitable for rooms that never existed. [49]

**Coming of the Truthseekers**

In the postwar years, anyone claiming to have a personal memory of the German gas-chambers would be liable to have Paul Rassinier turn up on their doorstep. Having himself experienced the hell of the Buchenwald camp he was far from being a fan of the Nazis; but, neither was he prepared to endure fabricated stories on the subject. He spent years travelling about Europe with his tape-recorder and questions, and always he found that the witnesses came out with "evasions or palpable lies," and his published report concluded: "With regard to the gas chambers, the almost endless procession of false witnesses and of falsified documents to which I have drawn the reader's attention during this long study, proves, nevertheless, one thing: never at any moment did the responsible authorities of the Third Reich intend to order - or in fact order - the extermination of the Jews in this or any other manner." [50]

That bold conclusion (for which he was, naturally, jailed) kick-started the "revisionist" movement! We may here note that the money which the FRG keeps paying out to "holocaust survivors" does enormous damage here, in stimulating "memories" and generating such averred cases.

Robert Faurisson investigated the configuration of the alleged Auschwitz "gas chambers," then Zundel[51] got to hear of this. At a historic 1985 trial in Toronto, witnesses were grilled for the first time ever over the existence of the alleged "gas chambers," and it was found that no such testimony would stand up. On the fourth day of this trial, a marvellous climax was reached when Zundel's lawyer Doug Christie put these questions to a top "Holocaust" expert Dr Raul Hillberg, author of the multi-volume, multi-edition work, _The Destruction of the European Jews:_

**Christie:** Can you give me one scientific report that shows the existence of gas chambers anywhere in Nazi-occupied territory?

_Hilberg:_ I'm at a loss.

**Christie:** You are [at a loss] because you can't. I want one report, before, during or after the war that shows that someone was killed by the use of those gases.

_Hilberg:_ You want an autopsy [report] and I know of no autopsy. [52]

- he could not cite one scientific report! That surely remains the case today; as likewise he could provide no evidence for a single death as diagnosed due to cyanide poisoning, even though the symptoms of this are quite distinctive.

Faurisson was an advisor to Zundel in this trial, and a subsequent one, and this duo just kept on winning the
arguments. The prosecution could come up with no credible witnesses for a gas-chamber's existence:[53]  
"In 1988, during the second trial against Ernst Zundel, the public prosecutor deemed it prudent to abandon any recourse to witnesses. Canadian justice had apparently understood the lesson of the first trial: there were no credible witnesses to the existence and operation of the 'Nazi gas chambers.' Little by little, every other country in the world has learned this same lesson. At the trial of Klaus Barbie in France, in 1987, there was talk about the gas chambers of Auschwitz but no one produced any witnesses who could properly speak about them. All the while in France, during several revisionist trials, Jewish witnesses sometimes came to evoke the gas chambers but none of them testified before the court as to having seen one or having participated in a homicidal gassing by hauling bodies out of the 'gas chambers.'  
"Today, gas chamber witnesses are making themselves extremely scarce and the Demjanjuk trial in Israel, which once again has revealed how much false testimony is involved in the matter, has contributed to the suppression. Several years ago, it happened that I was aggressively questioned at the rear of a law court by elderly Jews who presented themselves as 'living witnesses to the gas chambers of Auschwitz', showing me their tattoos. It was necessary for me only to ask them to look me in the eyes and to describe for me a gas chamber that inevitably they retorted: 'How could I do this? If I had seen a gas chamber with my own eyes I would not be here today to speak with you; I myself would have been gassed also.'"  
The study of history cannot exist without dissenting views, and who would want to read a history journal that one will care -- except that one kind of doubt is forbidden to you, which will land you in jail... in ten different nations! You can read the Hammer-horror account in, e.g., Auschwitz by Lawrence Rees (2005): every hour or so, a thousand naked Jews were marched (somehow) into a room with space for maybe one or two hundred, the doors are locked, then the Zyklon-B is poured down special chutes or holes in the ceiling (which did not exist in the war, they were added later), then after the screaming stops "powerful fans" remove the poison gas. In your dreams, Mr Rees. There were no such powerful fans. But, then what? The mass of bodies will be mixed up with the Zyklon-B, and that is specifically designed to keep emitting the cyanide gas slowly. How is anyone supposed to shovel out this tortured mass of corpses mixed up with poison powder? Remember there are only ordinary-sized doors, mostly opening inwards. Its an unthinkable nightmare that could not possibly have worked, and would certainly have gassed any workers trying to operate it. It is the "safe" design of this insecticide material, which would have prevented it from functioning in this deliriously-imagined manner.  
No German government existed after the war, that was the "unconditional surrender" which the Allies demanded. By losing both its senior military staff and government, Germany was in effect decapitated -- necessary for the illusory version of events to be perpetrated. The verdicts of Nuremberg were made final and binding for the postwar FRG. Germany has since paid a hundred billion Deutschmarks to Israel by way of Holocaust-compensation. Germany should take the advice of Iranian leader Ahmadinajad and stop paying it, because that funding provides undue motivation for Holocaust "memories." Germany is helping to maintain the holocaust legend, by thus aiding the state of Israel.[59]  
The United Nations has now established its annual Holocaust Remembrance Day on 27 January, as of 2006. On this anniversary, we all need to mull over the faking of history and the Greatest Lie Ever Told. As Perseus gazed at the Medusa only via a mirror, to avoid being petrified, to too we need calm reflection and the power of Truth to avoid our collective destruction.  

Notes  
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www.zundelsite.org/english/debate/014_jam.html In 1990 the Krakow Forensic Institute obtained somewhat lower values: their brick samples from Auschwitz "gas chambers" gave a maximum of 0.6 parts per million of cyanide, ie, hardly a trace: www.nizkor.org/hweb/ors/polish/institute-for-forensic-research/table-three.html
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[11] In the German Endlösung, "end" signifies "goal" and "aim", at least as much as "final. "

[12] The minutes of the Wannsee Conference (Berlin, 20th January 1942) provide "unambiguous documentary evidence that no extermination program existed:” Butz, p.212; For its text, see Staglich (ref 12), Appendix I.


[16] On June 17th 1942, Hitler remarked: "One could found a state of Israel in Madagascar," (Six Million, p.5) suggesting that the National-Socialist "final solution" was then still viewed as feasible. Many "Zionists" for whom Palestine was unfeasible looked at Madagascar.  
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Three main chemical investigations have been performed concerning residual cyanide in the walls of alleged ‘gas chambers’ at Auschwitz: by Leuchter, Rudolf and by Markiewicz. Over the two decades since Leuchter first sampled, debates have raged concerning where the samples were taken from, whether it was really ‘historic’ brickwork, how porous the brickwork was to the cyanide gas, and so forth. And yet, it is here argued that some fairly definite conclusions can be drawn from them. In particular, the Leuchter and Rudolf results are seen to corroborate each other. There is a difficulty with the level of accuracy claimed by Markiewicz.

I. The Leuchter Report, 1988
In February 1988, Fred Leuchter came to the Auschwitz crematoria ruins, with his wife and a team.[1]With his fur hat and small hammer, he chiselled out 32 samples from floor, walls and ceiling of the ‘gas chambers,’ and Howard Miller bagged and tagged them. His Report published in April of 1998[2] contained five maps as appendices which indicated where the samples had been taken from, and in addition a film was made of his sampling.[3] The locations are important, because some of the ‘gas chamber’ locations are postwar-reconstructed, and the obtaining of original brickwork was essential for his purpose. Leuchter in effect tested the hypothesis, as to whether or not certain large rooms, designated in the Auschwitz design-plans as either morgues or washrooms, had in fact been used for large-scale human cyanide gassing on a daily and lethal basis. As America’s foremost supplier of execution hardware, Leuchter was primarily concerned with whether it would have been feasible to perform such executions using the designated rooms, this however will not concern us here, our concern being solely with the wall samples he took.[4] These were analysed in March 1988 by Alpha Analytical Laboratories Ltd,[5] in ignorance of their source.

He managed to take one one sample of a ‘Disinfestation Chamber,’ by breaking and entering a locked building: but prowling guards and snowy blizzards prevented further sampling from a second such chamber at camp Majdanek.[6] His swiftly-published ‘Report’ in effect grouped his chemical data into two, that of the sample 32 which he called perhaps unfortunately his ‘control,’ and all the others, as the graph shows. The latter came from five ‘Crematoria’ sites in the Auschwitz complex.

Duality of the ‘Gas Chamber’ concept in Leuchter’s Report
The terms that will here be used, that are as far as possible non-judgemental, are AHGCs or alleged human gas chambers for what Leuchter called ‘Crematoria’ and DCs or disinfestation chambers for what in the German design-plans were called ‘gas chambers’ (gaskammers). The latter had been used in Germany since 1924, much as we would nowadays use DDT, for killing the flea that carried the typhus bacillus. They were operated using ‘Zyklon-B’ granules, composed of liquid hydrogen cyanide.
The intensity of criticism to which anyone had rather carried the humans? And, were not the extent to which surface material of the wall had been scraped off, while deeper samples would hardly contain any. We leave concentrations found would to a large extent merely reflect the degree in geology, and worked as a mineral exploration "Iron Berlinite" or "Prussian Blue" is, otherwise known as "Iron Berlinate". This indicates a significant elevation of residual cyanide in the AHGCs.

Fred Leuchter found one thousand-fold difference in residual cyanide levels between these two types of 'gas chamber' – that designated in German design-plans as gas chambers, but whose existence was ignored at Nuremberg, and the much larger rooms alleged to have functioned as gas chambers. Together with Pressac's acknowledgement of the DCs, this meant that future pro-Holocaust books would have to work with a duality: that the very same cans of 'Zyklon-B' were used for two extremely different purposes on the same campsite: for taking lives via the extermination procedure, whereby millions died, in the extraordinary manner described at Nuremberg, and also for saving them by combating the typhus epidemic. This did not make a great deal of sense and some noted that one could more readily have not bothered and just let the typhus epidemic do its work.

There was controversy over the extent to which all of Leuchter's samples had indeed been taken from walls of chambers allegedly exposed to the cyanide, given that much of the 'gas chambers' are now restored to be part-war-related, as likewise there was disagreement over the extent to which exposed walls may have had any cyanide leech out from them over six decades, a theme we return later on with the work of Mr. Dan Desjardins. The iron-cyanide bonding which takes place once the HCN has entered the brick and mortar of the walls, is permanent: the complex ferric ferrocyanide (Fe₃[Fe(CN)₆]₂), otherwise known as "Iron Berlinite" or "Prussian Blue" is, according to The Merck Index, " practically insoluble in water."[8] It is used as a pigment in printing inks and artists' colors, and remains stable in water, air, ultraviolet radiation and with the elevated temperatures of summer.

Following Leuchter's discovery, some suggested that the DCs had been more heavily used than the AHGCs, after all did not beetles or fleas take longer to kill than humans? And, were not the DCs heated in order to promote the release of the HCN, and would that not give a higher degree of wall-absorption? Others replied that, if half a million people had allegedly been gassed in the AHGCs at Birkenau, where his grouped results were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delousing room, inside:</th>
<th>5830 ± 3700 ppm</th>
<th>3010 ± 3600 ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delousing room, outside:</td>
<td>5830 ± 3700 ppm</td>
<td>3010 ± 3600 ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This indicates that the cyanide gas was able to penetrate right through the brick walls, and would not merely have been absorbed onto the surface; and suggests that weathering over half a century has not greatly affected the cyanide concentrations. This data has a central importance, because Leuchter had only managed to take one single sample of delousing chamber wall.[14] The 'Control' samples of Germar Rudolf Rudolf only took three samples from the AHGC walls (from what is called the Krema-II morgue), which was the weakness of his survey. Their wide divergences (7.2, 0.6 and 6.7 ppm) give little idea of this key parameter.[15] He took more samples from 'controls' – i.e., rooms where no-one had alleged that systematic cyanide gassing had taken place. His 'control' group is here sub-divided into samples taken from the mortar between the bricks, and the rest.:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AHGC walls:</th>
<th>4.8 ± 3 ppm</th>
<th>His samples 1-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls, plaster:</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.3 ppm</td>
<td>His samples 4,5,7,8, 10, 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls, mortar:</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1 ppm</td>
<td>His samples 6,21,24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This indicates a significant elevation of residual cyanide in the AHGCs.

### The Ball Report 1993

It is hard to obtain copies of this Report, or to gain details of where the chemical analysis was performed.[16] J.C. Ball has a degree in geology, and worked as a mineral exploration geologist. Given the intensity of criticism to which anyone publishing in this area is exposed, one should perhaps refrain from criticism on this matter. Its six samples were:
things in its conclusions: any allusion to the Birkenau DC ('facilities for the fumigation of prisoners’ clothes') where it had found very-elevated cyanide levels of the AHGCs; and the insoluble cyanide that was bound to iron. In regard to both of these it cited the Prussian blue ferric ferrocyanide complex, leaving open the possibility that is had some quite extraneous source and was therefore to be avoided.

The 1947 method used by Markiewicz et al. was given by Joseph Epstein and published in a US chemistry journal. It was a procedure whose limit of accuracy was given as 0.2 micrograms per ml. To expel the cyanide from brickwork and then dissolve it into a solution suitable for measuring it, involves an order-of-magnitude dilution at least, so that one would not expect to obtain an accuracy less then one ppm in the brickwork, using this method. Any claim that this decades-old titration and colorimetric method using thiocyanate can find parts per billion has to be serious.

IV. Desjardins analyses Leuchter

Dan Desjardins, after carefully retracing the steps of Leuchter on a 1996 visit to Auschwitz,[20] and watching the film that had been made of Leuchter’s sampling,[21] divided the samples 1-31 into two groups: those which had been exposed and open to the elements over the decades (n=20), and those which were more protected in sheltered, unexposed locations - ‘controls’. The ‘exposed’ group scored 30% lower than the sheltered group, a result which lacks statistical significance (t=0.8). This data could suggest that one-third of the cyanide had leached out from the exposed walls, over sixty years; if indeed they had all at one historic period been exposed to hydrogen cyanide. More defensible conclusions follow if one is not misled by the fallacies into those taken from AHGC walls, and those which were ‘controls’ i.e. taken from barracks, etc. The definition of the ‘control’ concept is critical here, and means brickwork where no one has ever been able to prove that there was no human interference, and who had been concerned to allege that is was part of a room where systematic cyanide gassing took place - whether of humans or of mattresses. Leuchter surmised that the ‘control’ sample had been exposed at some stage by single fumigation by cyanide gas, by way of cleaning out any lice from cracks etc.

Desjardins found that of 24 samples taken from the walls of the gas chambers, 12 samples had measurable levels of cyanide in them, where measurable means above one part per million. We have here assigned an arbitrary value of 0.5 ppm for those too low to measure, i.e below 1 ppm. This gave:

Table 5: Desjardins grouping of the Leuchter data as ‘sheltered’ or ‘exposed’ (2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sheltered</th>
<th>Exposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHGC walls</td>
<td>(n=10)</td>
<td>1.88 ± 2.2 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krema II</td>
<td>(n=20)</td>
<td>1.31 ± 1.56 ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This result too lacks statistical significance, i.e. Leuchter’s sample provides no evidence for human ‘gas chambers’ having raised residual cyanide levels above those of ‘controls.’ The data suggests that the AHGCs did not ever function as lethal gas chambers.

These two sets of data (using Desjardins’ divisions) co-vary somewhat, in that if we increase the ‘exposed’ samples by say 25%, to allow for leeching out of their cyanide over the decades, then the difference between the AHGC and ‘control’ groups disappears altogether. (As Mr Desjardins put it, five times as many of these [AHGC] samples came from locations protected from a DC wall: a facility which was not destroyed and has remained intact since the end of the war, were not exposed to the elements. The same might be said for samples 4, 5 and 6 taken from Crematorium II. Leuchter removed these samples from a pillar, wall and ceiling which, though accessible, were nevertheless well protected against wind and sun."

Table 3: Mean values of the cyanide measurements found by John Ball, 1993

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>From a DC</th>
<th>From an AHGC site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3000 ppm</td>
<td>(n=2)</td>
<td>0.6 ppm (n=5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500 ppm</td>
<td>(n=4)</td>
<td>0.4 ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Polish data. Mean levels of soluble cyanide in Crematorium walls, 1994

| AHGC walls, Krema I | 0.07 ± 0.1 ppm (n=7) |
| AHGC walls, Krema II | 0.16 ± 0.2 ppm (n=7) |
| AHGC walls, Krema III | 0.03 ± 0.2 ppm (n=7) |

These samples averaged 90 parts per billion. The Polish group claimed that their method could measure down to 2-3 parts per billion. For their ‘control’ they took eight samples from three different residential blocks, and thereby obtained (or at least published) consistently zero values - i.e., zero parts per billion! How impressive to have discovered this ultra-sensitive method. As ‘holocaust’ chemist Dr Richard Green explained, ‘The IFFR used a much more sensitive method. Their sensitivity was 3-4ug/kg, i.e., 300 times more sensitive.”[18] If that method published in 1947 had such astounding accuracy, then why did subsequent chemists fail to use it?

This investigation gave DC wall-concentrations in its Table 4, adding a several-fold elevation in cyanide levels there. Eight values for ‘concentrations of cyanide ions in samples collected in the facilities for the fumigation of prisoners’ clothes, (Birkenau Bath-House Camp B1-A)’ gave a mean value of 273 ppb, thrice that of the ‘Kremas.’ Their conclusion omitted comment upon this highly significant elevation. This paper has been much cited as refuting the Leuchter Report, by demonstrating that the AHGCs ([Kremas] had raised cyanide as compared to ‘controls.’ The paper was entitled, ‘A study of the cyanide compound contents in the walls of the gas chambers in the former Auschwitz and Birkenau concentration camps’ It thus used a Nuremberg-type terminology, where ‘gas chamber’ simply meant a place for human extermination. They could hardly have done otherwise, because doubt over ‘the Holocaust’ is a crime in Poland. The DCs were alluded to as ‘Facilities For the Fumigation of Prisoners’ Clothes.’

The next was a low trouble, with some sixty measurements mostly measured thrice, and was the only study which obtained permission to take the samples. It omitted two flaws, especially the soluble component of cyanide which one would not expect to provide a memory of the past, because it would clearly be affected by weathering. Their reason for using such a method was, apparently, that they did not want to get involved in debates over Prussian Blue formation: their approach ‘excludes the possibility of the decomposition of the relatively permanent Prussian blue, whose origin is unclear in many parts of the structures under investigation,’ and therefore ‘The real level of total cyanide compounds could therefore be higher than shown by our analysis.’ The samples were put in 10% sulphuric acid for 24 hours, thereby driving off the cyanide as before, except that cyanide bonded to iron was not liberated by the Polish method – the point of which has not been clear to a lot of people. The soluble or non-bonded cyanide thereby measured was only present in low concentrations measured in parts per billion rather than parts per million. How were they able to attain this accuracy in measurement unattainable either by Alpha Analytical laboratories or the Fesenius Institute? The method they referenced for this analysis had been published in 1947, and could one expect this to attain these much higher levels of accuracy? From three ‘gas chambers’ they found:

Table 6: Desjardins groups Leuchter’s data by AHGC versus ‘controls’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AHGCs</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(n=19)</td>
<td>1.63 ± 2.1 ppm</td>
<td>1.45 ± 1.2 ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ‘exposed’ sample had raised residual cyanide levels of the AHGCs, above those of ‘controls.’ The data suggests that the AHGCs did not ever function as lethal gas chambers.

The director of the Auschwitz museum Franciszek Piper approached Dr Jan Markiewicz of the Jan Sehn Institute of Forensic Research at Cracow as to whether they would check over the residual cyanide levels, in the wake of the Leuchter Report. On 20 Feb 1990 Dr. Wojciech Gubala arrived and removed 22 samples, including two control samples. The team then decided that they would like to follow this up with a further study before publishing any results.

This survey, published in 1994, differed from those of Leuchter and Rudolf in that it only looked at soluble cyanide in the brickwork.[17] Critics objected that it was precisely the soluble cyanide which one would not expect to provide a memory of the past, because it would clearly be affected by weathering. Their reason for using such a method was, apparently, that they did not want to get involved in debates over Prussian Blue formation: their approach ‘excludes the possibility of the decomposition of the relatively permanent Prussian blue, whose origin is unclear in many parts of the structures under investigation,’ and therefore ‘The real level of total cyanide compounds could therefore be higher than shown by our analysis.’ The samples were put in 10% sulphuric acid for 24 hours, thereby driving off the cyanide as before, except that cyanide bonded to iron was not liberated by the Polish method – the point of which has not been clear to a lot of people. The soluble or non-bonded cyanide thereby measured was only present in low concentrations measured in parts per billion rather than parts per million. How were they able to attain this accuracy in measurement unattainable either by Alpha Analytical laboratories or the Fesenius Institute? The method they referenced for this analysis had been published in 1947, and could one expect this to attain these much higher levels of accuracy? From three ‘gas chambers’ they found:
presence of cyanide residue is due to benign rather than homicidal purposes.

What Desjardins meant by ‘flaws’ in Leuchter’s methodology was, he explained, that a not sufficiently constant ratio had been maintained between amount of surface wall or plaster included per sample, and overall volume. This he viewed as producing a variability in the data, but not as discrediting the investigation per se, as one finds claimed in certain quarters.[23]

Conclusions
One might expect that the accuracy of cyanide-ion assay would have increased substantially over the last couple of decades, but this is not the case: any re-analysis of the brickwork would face the same frustrating situation, where differences between AHGCs and controls hover right next to the lowest detectable levels.

The essential questions here reviewed may be best evaluated without arguments over whether or not Prussian blue colouration has formed. The latter involves a slow and complex sequence of reactions. We have here been primarily concerned with total cyanide in the brickwork.

Plaster on the wall-surface may tend to have a higher cyanide level than brick or mortar underneath it, and the ferric-ferrocyanide does decrease as a function of depth. Samples should therefore aim to have a comparable breadth-to-depth ratio.

The notion of a ‘control’ sample has developed from Rudolf’s sampling and also from Mr Desjardins evaluation of the Leuchter sample locations. This permitted an evaluation of whether measurement of authentic AHGC wall were significantly elevated over such. While there was a hint of this from Rudolf’s sampling, and while further investigation might confirm this, overall no statistically significant elevation was evident.

The careful and extensive Polish data was analysed using a 1947 US titration procedure, which gave no indication of reaching the parts per billion accuracy claimed by that study. If Marciewicz et al. chose to use a method which only analysed 1% or less of the cyanide, viz. the soluble component, for whatever reason, they should first have shown that their method was capable of detecting it.

Both the Leuchter and Rudolf surveys obtained a three order-of-magnitude differential between the walls of DC and AHGC buildings; the simplest explanation of which is that the former was used on a regular basis for cyanide fumigation while the latter was not.

The Leuchter data showed that there was no great diminution of cyanide levels due to weathering over half a century, and this accords with what is known about the insolubility and permanence of the ferric-ferrocyanide complex. The residual cyanide within those walls may therefore offer the most reliable memory which the human race now has, concerning what happened historically in German ‘gas chambers.’

Appendix:

**Leuchter 1988 Table of Data, Alpha Laboratory measurements plus Desjardin critique (2007)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Sample</th>
<th>Location (Leuchter)</th>
<th>Total ppm cyanide (Desjardins)</th>
<th>Sheltered/Exposed (Desjardins)</th>
<th>AHGC/Control (Desjardins)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crema II, Morg. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Crema II, Morg. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Crema II, Morg. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Crema II, Morg. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>Crema II, Morg. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>Crema II, Morg. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Crema II, Morg. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Crema II, Morg. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>Crema III, Morg. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8b</td>
<td>Crema III, Morg. 1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Crema III, Morg. 1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Crema III, Morg. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Crema III, Morg. 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Crema IV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Crema IV</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Crema IV</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Crema IV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Crema IV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Crema IV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20a</td>
<td>Crema IV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20b</td>
<td>Crema IV</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Crema V</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Crema V</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Crema V</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Crema V</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25a</td>
<td>Crema I, Morgue</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25b</td>
<td>Crema I, Morgue</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Crema I, Morgue</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Crema I, Morgue</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Crema I, Wash rm</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Crema I, Morgue</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30a</td>
<td>Crema I, Morgue</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30b</td>
<td>Crema I, Morgue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Crema I, Morgue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>AHGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Disinfectation Rm</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
On this episode of the Non-Aligned Media podcast, I spoke with Nicholas Kollerstrom, a British science historian and author of the new book Breaking the Spell: The Holocaust: Myth and Reality.

Kollerstrom was fired from his teaching job in 2008 for his investigations into the chemical evidence at Germany’s wartime concentration camps which, according to Kollerstrom, disproves the widespread myth that those camps were equipped with ‘homicidal gas chambers’ that were used to kill millions.

On the show we talked about the real purposes of Germany’s wartime camps and how routine disinfection procedures, such as delousing inmate clothing with chemicals, was twisted by Allied propaganda to suit a war fable about intentional ‘genocide’ of specifically Jewish inmates. The scientific evidence is simply not there, Kollerstrom insisted, adding that British Army interceptions of German high command communications in 1941 reveal no mention of plans about ‘exterminating’ prisoners, but rather speak of labour activities. Allied aerial photographs taken over Auschwitz in 1944 seem to also undermine the assertion that mass executions were taking place at the ‘height’ of the alleged killing process.

Kollerstrom also noted that much documentation exists showing that the German high command issued orders insisting that camp conditions be improved to reduce inmate mortality rates due to disease. We also broached the elusive “Six Million” figure, and how the fable of ‘six million Jews’ either being persecuted or on the brink of a large-scale
catastrophe was worthless atrocity propaganda banded about in public discourse for decades prior to World War II, primarily by American Zionist groups looking to raise funds for Jewish colonization in Palestine. Despite drastic reductions in the death figures at many of the major camps, the 'Six Million’ number is never revised, revealing it to be nothing more than a religious myth maintained through repetition. We must look at history objectively, Kollerstrom stressed on the show, emphasizing the need for unrestricted inquiry into highly politicized events such as the Second World War. Unlike the politically and economically motivated enforcers of the official holocaust narrative, responsible skeptics, known as revisionists, are motivated solely by a search for truth and a thirst for justice, many suffering tremendous hardships for their principled stance. Even though much of what has been said about the German concentration camps is either myth, distortion or exaggeration, I contend that we must make it clear that forced labour camps and concentration camps in general are reprehensible. All of the belligerents in World War II are guilty in this respect, and must be condemned for this moral transgression. With that said, we must also be keen to seek truth and accuracy in history, and not let political or ethnic prejudices get in the way of rational analysis.

http://nonalignedmedia.com/2015/04/nam-podcast-episode-7-nicholas-kollerstrom-on-holocaust-deceptions/
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how Zyklon was used in WWII, including in the German labor camps, Nick had nowhere to turn except into the Revisionist movement. Lucky for us!
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The non-existence of homicidal gas chambers, and the science behind that claim;
"Holocaust” as the new world religion featuring 6 million martyrs put to death in fiery furnaces;
Bletchley Park Decrypts: How cracking the Enigma code may have helped the British win the war, but undermines the extermination camp narrative;
The Reinhardt camps controversy - David Irving, the Korherr Report and Höfle Telegram;
Appendix IV: Know Your Rights when it comes to "holocaust denial," "hate speech" and "inciting the public";
and much more.

Kollerstrom is an engaging personality who also authored Terror on the Tube (2009) on the London 7/7 subway bombings. His website is http://whatreallyhappened.info

*click here to download podcast


After being thrown out of his British university, where he had spent 15 years as a science historian, for daring to publish 3 simple research papers on