Setting the Historical Record Straight on . . .

Adolf Hitler

HITLER’S OBSERVATIONS ABOUT JEWS IN GERMANY

It can be said with assurance that no other figure in the annals of history has been so misunderstood and so unfairly defamed as has Adolf Hitler. From his birth to his military record to his political career to his true motives, the mainstream history of Hitler has been steeped in misconception and outright lies. Is he the most evil figure in global history—or is there something about Hitler that makes members of the global elite cower in fear? Here is chapter 5 from the work of Canadian Cassian d’Ornellas, a retired teacher who felt it was time to set the record straight on Hitler.

By Cassian d’Ornellas

It must be pointed out that Hitler tried to be as objective as possible about his understanding and knowledge of the Jews in Germany. That is to say, he did not rush into his condemnation of them until he had carefully studied their behavior, their actions and the types of occupations in which they were involved. His first knowledge of the Jews came about when he had left his home in Linz as a young man of 17, in search of his livelihood, when he migrated to Vienna. In that city he found his first job, as an unskilled manual laborer mixing mortar in the building trade.

While living there for several years, he eked out an existence in extreme poverty, with little to eat. Hunger was his ever-present companion. On the fourth day of his job he was ordered to join the trade union to which his fellow workers belonged, but he refused to do so and gave as his reason for not joining the fact that he did not know anything about unions. In any case, he would not allow himself to be forced into anything.

After two weeks he found it impossible to take such a step as joining a union because of what he saw and heard from his fellow workers. At lunchtime they would all gather around to talk politics. Their wives brought them their scanty lunches, which consisted of soup in dilapidated dishes. He listened to them while he drank his bottle of milk and ate his morsel of bread. All that he heard from his fellow workers aroused in him the strongest antipathy. Everything was disparaged—the nation because it was held to be an invention of the “capitalist class”; the fatherland, because it was held to be an instrument in the hands of the bourgeoisie for the exploitation of the working masses; the authority of the law, because that was the means of holding down the proletariat; religion, as a means of doping the people, so as to exploit them afterward; morality, as a badge of stupid and sheepish docility. There was nothing that they did not drag in the mud.

Anyone who is familiar with Marxism would immediately recognize that this is communist language. And Karl Marx was a Jew with a specific Jewish philosophy, which was to spread communism throughout the world by using the working classes to do so. Unlike Hitler he was not a German national socialist but an international socialist whose socialism was not authentic in any way, shape or form but a sham and a veneer to dupe the working class. Hitler soon recognized that the trade union movement in Germany was organized and led by international “social-
ists" who had no loyalty to the German nation. That is to say they were not national socialists. Their plans and intentions were to infiltrate the trade union movement and in this way spread international socialism among the working classes of the world.7

Also Hitler soon discovered that Marxism was the political philosophy of the Social Democratic Party, which became the majority party in the German government and through which the workers were promised that their worries were soon to be over.8

He obtained a copy of the Workman's Journal, the organ of the Social Democratic Party. As he said: "So I brought it home with me and spent the whole evening reading it, despite the steadily mounting rage provoked by this ceaseless outpouring of falsehoods."

"I now found that in the Social Democratic daily papers I could study the inner character of the politico-philosophic system much better than in all their theoretical literature."9

But he found that in order to know and understand the Social Democrats and their political philosophy, it was necessary to understand who the Jews were:

Knowledge of the Jews is the only key whereby one may understand the inner nature and therefore the real aims of Social Democracy. I gradually discovered that the Social Democratic press was predominantly controlled by Jews. But I did not attach special importance to this circumstance, for the same state of affairs existed in other newspapers. I set about learning something of the people who wrote and published this mischievous stuff.10

From the publisher downward, all of them were Jews. I recalled to mind the names of the public leaders of Marxism, and then I realized that most of them belonged to the chosen race—the Social Democratic representatives in the imperial Cabinet as well as the secretaries of the trade unions and the street agitators. Everywhere the same sinister picture presented itself. I shall never forget the row of names—Austerlitz, David, Adler, Ellenbogen and others. One fact became quite evident to me. It was that this alien race held in its hands the leadership of that Social Democratic Party with whose minor representatives I had been disputing for months past.11

Arguing with them about the fallacy of their Marxist views, Hitler discovered that it was a waste of time to try to convince them that they were wrong by the force of logic:

[For the] Jew can never be rescued from his fixed notions. It was then simple enough to attempt to show them the absurdity of their teaching. Within my small circle I talked to them until my throat ached and my voice grew hoarse. I believed I could finally convince them of the danger inherent in Marxist follies. But I only achieved the contrary result.12

Before arriving at his conclusions about the Jews and their ways, as being an alien people, that is to say a people who could not care less for the Germans, who showed no respect for German tradition and culture, German values and beliefs, in short, who ridiculed, economically exploited, used and abused the German people for their own selfish ends, and, because of that, were disloyal to the German nation as a whole, Hitler had refused to be taken in by any anti-Semitic propaganda.

Once when I was passing through the inner city, I suddenly encountered a phenomenon in a long coat and wearing black side locks. My first thought was: Is this a Jew? They certainly did not have this appearance in Linz. I watched this man stealthily and cautiously, but the longer I gazed at the strange countenance and examined it, it seemed to me more the question shaped itself in my brain: Is this a German? As was always my habit with such experiences, I turned to books for help in removing my doubts. For the first time in my life I bought myself some anti-Semitic pamphlets for a few pennies. But unfortunately they all began with the assumption that in principle the reader had at least a certain degree of information about the Jewish question or was even familiar with it. Moreover the tone of these pamphlets was such that I became doubtful again, because the statements made were partly superficial and the proofs extraordinarily unscientific. For weeks and for months, I returned to my old way of thinking. The subject appeared so enormous and the accusations were so far reaching that I was afraid of dealing with it unjustly, and so I became again anxious and uncertain.13

In the Jew I still saw only a man who was of a different religion, and therefore, on grounds of human tolerance, I was against the idea that he should be attacked because he had a different faith. And so I considered that the tone adopted by the anti-Semitic press in Vienna was unworthy of the cultural traditions of a great people. The memory of certain events, which happened in the middle ages, came into my mind, and I felt that I should not like to see them repeated.14

Today it is hard and almost impossible for me to say when the word "Jew" first began to raise any particular thought in my mind. I do not remember even having heard the word at home during my father's lifetime. If this name was mentioned in a derogatory sense I think the old gentleman would just have considered those who used it in this way as being uneducated reactionaries.15

As time went on Hitler discovered that:

Nine-tenths of all the smutty literature, artistic tripe and theatrical banalities had to be charged to a people who formed scarcely 1% of the nation—that fact could not be gainsaid. It was there and had to be admitted...16

Then I began to examine my favorite World Press,
Weimar Germany & America...
The parallels are disturbing...

- Is the USA on the same path of economic and social ruin as Weimar Germany?
- Was the German response to the Weimar disaster an “overreaction” to events?
- What lessons can we learn from the Weimar period? Can we reverse course?

Jewish Domination of Weimar Germany was the national socialist government’s first English-language attempt to explain the rationale behind their legislative moves to restrict Jewish influence in Germany after 1933. Using official pre-Nazi-era demographics, authors Eckhart Verlag and Francis Dupont list the ownership of mass media in Weimar Germany, the astonishing financial scandals, communist and other political subversion, degenerate theater and the communist indoctrination in educational institutions and the media. This new edition contains the entire original text and illustrations and benefits from a series of appendices by Francis Dupont which reveals: The measures taken by the Nazi state against Jews; details of the Haavara Transfer Agreement whereby the Nazi government and the World Zionist movement worked to help create the state of Israel; the world Jewish declaration of war against Germany in 1933; and a series of eye-opening parallels between Weimar Germany and the present-day United States, showing exactly the same trends of Jewish domination of educational institutions, the mass media and numerous financial scandals. Jewish Domination of Weimar Germany (softcover, 96 pages, $670, $14 minus 10% for TBR subscribers) is available from TBR Book Club, P.O. Box 15877, Washington, D.C. 20003. Add $5 S&H inside the U.S. Outside the U.S. email sales@barnesreview.org for S&H to your nation. To charge, call toll free 1-877-777-9077 or shop at our online store at www.BarnesReview.com.

with that fact before my mind. The deeper my soundings went, the lesser grew my respect for that Press, which I formerly admired. Its style became still more repellent and I was forced to reject its ideas as entirely shallow and superficial. To claim that in the presentation of facts and views its attitude was impartial seemed to me to contain more falsehood than truth. The writers were Jews.18

In Vienna, Hitler discovered that Jews were prominent in prostitution, and especially in the white slave trade:

A cold shiver ran down my spine when I first ascertained that it was the same kind of cold-blooded, thick-skinned and shameless Jew who showed his consummate skill in conducting that revolting exploitation of the dregs of the big city. Then I became fired with wrath... But as I learned to track down the Jew in all the different spheres of cultural and artistic life, and in the various manifestations of this life everywhere, I suddenly came upon him in a position where I least expected to find him. I now realized that the Jews were the leaders of Social Democracy. In the face of that revelation the scales fell from my eyes. My long inner struggle was at an end.19

As Hitler was developing his German National Socialist Workers Party into growing numbers, the Social Democrats could no longer ignore him as he had become a threat to their continued existence. They therefore resorted to terrorist tactics in order to destroy him and his party. Goons were sent in to break up Hitler’s party meetings, but he did not cave in, being the courageous man he was. Instead, a bodyguard was formed to protect him, called the Storm Detachment. During this time the communists had taken over Bavaria.

Gottfried Feder described the events:

In October 1922 Hitler marched at the head of 800 men to Coburg and within 48 hours utterly crushed the Red terror in that town.20

During the summer of 1923 Hitler proceeded to break down the Red terror in the majority of towns in Bavaria; Ratisbon, Hof, Bayreuth, Nuremberg, Furth, Ingolstadt, Wurzburg, Schweinfurt—often at the cost of bloodshed in street fights in which he defeated the social democratic and communist terrorist bands.21

In the German Marxist Revolution of 1918, the Jews were the directors and strategists; the German Cabinet was dominated by the Jews Schiffer and Berstein in charge of Finance, and the Jews Preuss and Freund occupying the secretariat of the Interior. In Prussia, the Ministry of Justice was all Jewish, headed by Rosenfeld; the Interior and Finance ministries were held respectively by the Jews Hirsch and Simm.
“Nine-tenths of all the smutty literature, artistic tripe and theatrical banalities had to be charged to a people who formed scarcely 1% of the nation.”

They, and their internationalist Jewish connections, were the people who attacked Germany from the rear and those outside from the front, using other people’s armies of course.23 As Ben Freedman put it, the Jews stabbed the German people in the back and betrayed them without blinking an eye.24 This betrayal cost Germany WWI.

Following the defeat of Germany, the yoke of the Versailles Treaty, with its massive war reparations, “the likes of which man’s imagination cannot encompass,”25 was placed around the German people’s necks resulting in massive, unbearable inflation and unimaginable hardships. In order to pay these massive reparations, the German government was forced to borrow money from the German central bank, the Reichsbank, owned by Jews. Money that governments borrow from the central bankers is fiat money the bankers create out of thin air. What this means is that money the bankers create is not derived from anything of real value.26 Thus when this kind of money is pumped into the economy of a country, it creates inflation, that is, an economy of continuously rising prices, and that is the situation we live in today.

Therefore when people receive this kind of money for work done, they can only buy less of the goods and services they need. In effect, inflation becomes an added tax. Hence in an inflationary economy, people are taxed to the hilt. That is why in an inflationary economy workers often must strike, demanding higher wages in order to make ends meet.

That this is caused by the bankers comes out of the mouth of a banker’s agent himself, when he said, “Money is created out of the right to issue credit money.”

This statement was made in 1920 by a man named Mariner Eccles, the then-chairman of the Federal Reserve, which, like the Reichsbank in Germany, is a central bank.27 In other words, the bankers create inflation in order to enrich themselves by the workers’ poverty, hunger, want and suffering as Hitler so aptly, truthfully and scientifically records in Mein Kampf. In order to understand this more fully, it is important to see how real wealth is created. And that is found in recognizing the fact that nothing of value can be produced without human labor. Human labor is, therefore, the basis, the essence, the root and the well-spring of real wealth. Without human labor, real wealth cannot be produced. But where do we ever see this fundamental truth emblazoned on the front page of a textbook on economics or hear an economics professor even mention it casually—if he wants to keep his job?

Which Nobel laureate in economics has dared to mention this simple truth in his complex mathematical theorizing? Now, people must have a way of exchanging the products of their labor for the goods and services they need in order to live ordinarily and also to improve upon the conditions in which they live, so that a carpenter can exchange his carpentry with a plumber who will do some plumbing for him or with a baker who will provide him bread in exchange for his carpentry and so on.

But this is an impractical way of exchanging one’s wealth, the product of one’s labor, for that of another, since not all needs are identical or are required at the same time. Therefore a more suitable and workable solution of the exchange of wealth had to be found, that is, a medium of exchange of wealth was essential, and that medium evolved into money, so that one can pay a plumber, with money, for his labor, or a carpenter for his, or a baker for his and so on. Some people, however, because of their laziness and lack of moral principles and commitment to what is just, honest and fair, have devised cunning, deceptive and devious schemes in order to steal the product of other people’s labor and enrich themselves, thereby, while they do nothing to earn their living by hard work or effort. The two most important schemes they have devised so far are, namely, the banking system and the stock exchange system, which work together in tandem. By suitable propaganda through the mass media, these crooked schemes have acquired the aura of righteousness and respectability, of goodness, fairness and honesty.

For example, there is no more respectable-looking place than the outward appearance of a bank. But, hidden deeply under cover, behind this respectable facade, is the criminal mind of a robber and a killer. In studying Mein Kampf in an impartial, unprejudiced, and objectively minded way, the student will see that Hitler had arrived at the following conclusions:

a) The Social Democratic Party in Germany, whose political ideology was Marxism, had cooperated with Ger-
many's enemies to defeat Germany in World War I.

b) The Social Democratic Party was organized and led by Jews. It was essentially a Jewish party.

c) The Jews who lived in Germany had no loyalty to Germany, that is, they were not true Germans and were responsible for Germany's defeat in the war. It was a betrayal of Germany, a stab in the back, as Ben Freedman said.

Furthermore, these conclusions are essentially correct, for they are supported by other witnesses. Freedman was an eyewitness at the Paris Peace Conference in 1919 when the reparations were slapped on Germany. He was able to see for himself, first hand, the Jewish betrayal of Germany at that conference. No one was in a better position to see that than he for, at that time, he was a Jew. In this connection, it is important to point out that Freedman was part of a Jewish delegation consisting of 117 Jews from New York, led by none other than the Jewish dictator in the American government, Bernard Baruch.

This raises the highly pertinent question: Why was a delegation of Jews present at the Paris Peace Conference if Jews did not play a very significant part in the war? No other ethnic or religious group was represented there. The answer to this question can only be that international Jewry did play a highly significant role in Germany's defeat.

By attending Gottfried Feder's lectures, Hitler would go on to discover that the suffering of the German people, notably Germany's working class, was directly caused by the greed of the Jewish bankers, who had used the reparations in order to put Germany into massive debt, which produced the unbearable inflation that caused so much suffering among the German people. And that is why he nationalized the Reichsbank, and issued the German people's own currency as opposed to the currency of the Jewish bankers. This was thoroughly explained by Feder in his book The Program of the NSDAP, the National Socialist German Workers Party, and Its General Conceptions. And the bankers have acquired this exceptional right to create money out of nothing because they are the real rulers of the nations in which they have established their central banks. That is to say, the central bankers such as the owners of the Reichsbank, the Bank of England, the Federal Reserve System and the Bank of France dictate to their respective governments what they want these governments to do—and if war is required. Then the governments will declare war.

And these international bankers are all Jews with the notable exception of the Rockefellers. J.P. Morgan was not a real bank owner; he was a frontman for the real bank owners, who are the Rothschilds, and who are the leaders of the Jewish Zionists. The Rothschilds have been established in several Western European countries for many generations. As Freedman points out: "The Zionists and their co-religionists rule these United States as though they were the absolute monarchs of this country."

What he means is that the Rothschilds, using their agents in America, such as Paul Warburg, Jacob Schiff, Bernard Baruch, Eugene Meyer, Col. Edward Mandel House and Samuel Untermyer, were and are the real rulers of America. These monarchs, who are the Rothschilds, rule by proxy.

According to Freedman: "The arch villains behind the world's difficulties are the Rothschilds." He goes on to say: "This author can speak with confidence on this subject as his knowledge was obtained first hand from members of the Rothschild dynasty in London, New York and elsewhere."

War means more money in the pockets of the bankers since the governments "have to" obtain credit from them to fight their wars. That is to say, wars are very profitable to the international bankers, whose banks are located in the respective countries fighting the wars, so that they can collect the principal, which costs them nothing because they create it out of nothing, plus the interest on the war loans from all the protagonists engaged in the war, Why, there-

"Why was a delegation of Jews present at the Paris Peace Conference if Jews did not play a very significant part in World War I?"

fore, should they not promote, encourage and foster wars if wars are so profitable to them?

This fact was laid bare by what a British member of Parliament and a Privy Councilor who was familiar with all parliamentary secrets. He points out how the British people were fleeced of their wealth by the owners of the Bank of England, when the bankers used World War I as a device to enrich themselves, even more, through massive loans to the British government in order to sustain the war and keep it going, for as long as they could, regardless of the many millions who were being killed and maimed.

Thomas C. Johnston, ex- lord of Privy Seal, explains:

The report of the Cunliffe Committee (1927) relates the story of the progressive piling up of our war debt burdens. But it is nowise a complete chronique scandaleuse of usury in wartime; nor did its authors so intend it to be. We find in its pages no reference to or hint of the magical
process by which, while the nation struggled almost at death’s door for its very existence, and while the masses of the fittest of our manhood were daily being blown into bundles of bloody rags, our banking fraternities continued to create for themselves a great volume of new credit and to lend that credit to us at interest, and indeed at progressively increased interest; no reference to the fact that by this manufacture of bankers’ credit some portion, variously estimated in amount, of what now stands as the public debt, was simply fabricated for private ends and was not a bona fide loan of real wealth to the nation. . . .

We must note another, even more amazing and more impudent, of the methods of debt and interest concoction in these dolorous war times. The banks actually issued circulars to thousands of their customers, inviting them to apply for a portion of the new war loan, and to borrow credit from the banks for that purpose at 3%. The customer was to put up no money for his war loan, no margin, no securities. . . .

The bank was to supply the credit and was to charge the customer 3% interest for so doing; but the state was pledging itself to pay 4.5% interest on the war loan, which the customer was purchasing with his 3% money. The customer, after allowing for his income tax etc was clearly 1% per annum in pocket on the deal. It is difficult to write in cold blood of these financial dodges, arranged between the City and the Treasury and committed upon a nation in extremis. In March 1916 the Bank of England, without any apparent sense of shame, issued press advertisements that ran: “If You Cannot Fight: If you cannot fight, you can help your country by investing all you can in 5% Exchequer Bonds. Unlike the soldier, the investor runs no risk.”

Yet all of these efforts surely paled before the shameless greed of the third great war loan in Jan. 1917. No foreign conqueror could have devised a more complete robbery and enslavement of the British nation. The rate of interest in the war loan was jumped to 5% . . . Doubtless there were many millions of pounds lent patriotically to the state, money whose owners were disturbed and ashamed at the profitaking in finance, which made riot during and after the war. The Rt. Hon. Stanley Baldwin, for example, who was financial secretary in the Treasury, and saw first hand the ruggery and ravenous greed of finance while the nation was in extremis, anonymously handed over 150,000 pounds of his own fortune to the state to clear his conscience and to set an example. But the controllers of the money power . . . the men who created hundreds of millions in unnecessary debt, the men who inflated interest rates . . . during the stress of war surely provided the most convincing evidence that the nation must be the sole creator of money, and the guardian and banker of the savings and thrift of its citizens, if well-being and security are ever to be the common lot of men.59

It is for similar reasons, in Germany, that Hitler moved to disestablish the Rothschild-owned Reichsbank, and to abolish interest servitude in Germany, in order to free the German working people from the thralldom of interest by which they were enslaved. And he did so by issuing the German people’s own currency in place of the bankers’ fictitious money. It should also be noted that money can only acquire real value when it is earned by people who have worked and labored in order to obtain it. It is this real money that the bankers are after and that they can only obtain by taxing the people. Of course, the governments—whose members the bankers control and manipulate like puppets on a string—compel the working people to pay taxes. In other words, there would be absolutely no need for taxation, of any kind, if the government issued the nation’s currency, instead of the bankers. Freedman explains:

The Treaty of Versailles had imposed crushing reparation payments on Germany. The German people were expected to reimburse the costs of the war for all participants—costs totaling three times the value of all the property in the country. Speculation in the German mark had caused it to plummet, precipitating one of the worst runaway inflations of modern times. At its peak a wheelbarrow full of 100 billion-mark banknotes could not buy a loaf of bread. The national treasury was completely broke, and huge numbers of homes and farms had been lost to the banks and speculators. People were starving in hovels. Nothing like it had ever happened before—the total destruction of the national currency, wiping out people’s savings, their businesses and the economy generally.54

It was these war reparation payments that produced the massive German inflation at the end of World War I, thus making World War II the inevitable consequence. The inflation caused by the reparation payments was due to the fact that the bankers created money out of thin air.

This money-creation process, based upon debt, works as follows: The money created by the central bank is called fiat money because the Jewish-controlled government has given the banks, which are privately owned by Jews, the right to issue currency out of nothing. Whereas the right to issue currency should be reserved only for the government.

The fiat money created by the central banks and the commercial banks together is approximately nine times the amount of the original government debt, which made
the entire process possible. When the original debt is added to that figure, we finally have total fiat money equal to 10 times the national debt. To the degree that this newly created money floods into the economy in excess of goods and services, it causes the purchasing power of all money, both old and new, to decline. Prices go up, because the relative value of the money has gone down.

The result is the same as if that purchasing power has been taken away from the people by taxing them. The reality of the process is that it is a hidden tax equal to 10 times the national debt.

When this is added to income tax and excise taxes the total tax burden upon the people adds up to many times the national debt. This was the incredible hardship of interest servitude or usury to which the German people were condemned. This out-and-out robbery was supported and sustained by the Jewish-controlled government of the corrupt Weimar republic. This was the reason why Hitler moved to end the reign of the international bankers in Germany. As he would write, after studying Karl Marx's *Das Kapital*, “In the light of it I exactly understood the fight of the Social Democrats against national economics.”

And this is why the international Jews, even those living in Germany, who had no loyalty to Germany whatever, declared war upon Hitler's Germany by using the armies of gentile nations such as the United States, Britain, France and the Russian people, to fight their wars for them, resulting in the deaths, the casualties and the maiming of tens of millions of working-class gentiles.

But because the Jews control the mass media of communication, such as the newspapers, the magazines, radio, television, the book publishing industry and the motion-picture industry, they are able to control what the gentile public hears, reads and sees and, therefore, to mold public opinion according to their own plans and conceptions and in their own particular self interests, and in this way decide who will be elected to form the next government which they will, likewise, control, manipulate and dominate.

Not to mention the enormous amounts of money they will flood into political campaigns.

It should be pointed out that Ben Friedman was a former Jew, who converted to Christianity. However he was no ordinary Jew but was a member of the highest echelons of American Jewry, having been “personally acquainted with Bernard Baruch, Samuel Untermyer, Woodrow Wilson, Franklin Roosevelt, Joseph Kennedy, John Kennedy and many more movers and shakers.”
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